

CAMH

# Suicide

PREVENTION AND

ASSESSMENT

HANDBOOK

**camh**

Centre for Addiction and Mental Health  
Centre de toxicomanie et de santé mentale



CAMH

# Suicide

PREVENTION AND  
ASSESSMENT  
HANDBOOK

**camh**

Centre for Addiction and Mental Health  
Centre de toxicomanie et de santé mentale

CAMH Suicide Prevention and Assessment Handbook

ISBN: 978-1-77052-859-8 (PRINT)

ISBN: 978-1-77052-860-4 (PDF)

ISBN: 978-1-77052-861-1 (HTML)

ISBN: 978-1-77052-862-8 (ePUB)

PM098

Printed in Canada

Copyright © 2011, 2015 Centre for Addiction and Mental Health

*No part of this work may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means electronic or mechanical, including photocopying and recording, or by any information storage and retrieval system without written permission from the publisher—except for a brief quotation (not to exceed 200 words) in a review or professional work.*

This publication may be available in other formats. For information about alternative formats or other CAMH publications, or to place an order, please contact Sales and Distribution:

Toll-free: 1 800 661-1111

Toronto: 416 595-6059

E-mail: [publications@camh.ca](mailto:publications@camh.ca)

Online store: <http://store.camh.ca>

Website: [www.camh.ca](http://www.camh.ca)

This handbook was produced by CAMH Publications.

5188a / 05-2015 / PM098

# Contents

Acknowledgments v

Preface vi

Introduction 1

Part I: Suicide Risk Assessment and Prevention 3

When to conduct suicide assessments 5

Suicide risk factors 6

Protective factors 8

Suicide inquiry 11

Level of risk and treatment plans 13

Screening tools 14

Safety plans 15

Documentation of suicide risk assessment 16

CAMH-specific requirements and tools 19

Involvement of family and/or significant others and friends 20

Supervision and consultation 21

Restriction of the health record 23

Treatment and management of suicidal clients 23

The therapeutic relationship between client and clinician 23

Privacy and confidentiality 24

Preventing suicides in the inpatient environment: Management  
of AWOL and voluntary patients 25

Removing risks in the environment 28

Part II: The Aftermath of Client Suicide 31

Supporting staff in the aftermath of client suicide 31

Phases of recovery 32

Supporting clients in the aftermath of suicide 35

Emotional reactions of inpatient survivors 36

Supporting families in the aftermath of suicide 38

Normal and complicated grief reactions	38
A clinician's role in supporting the family	40
Confidentiality after a suicide	40
Conducting the family meeting	40
Attending funeral and memorial services	41

Suicide Resources	43
-------------------	----

Bibliography	47
--------------	----

## Appendices

Appendix I: Suicide, Mental Illness and Substance Abuse	61
Appendix II: Suicide Risk Interdisciplinary Plan of Care (IPOC)	83
Appendix III: Safety Plans	85

# Acknowledgments

The following CAMH staff developed this resource. Contact staff in your area to become more involved in suicide prevention work at CAMH.

Monica Haberman, MSW, MHSA, quality and patient safety specialist, Department of Risk Management, Quality and Patient Safety

Wendy MacLellan, MSW, RSW, manager, Social Determinants of Health Service

Claudia Tindall, MSW, RSW, advanced practice clinician, Mood and Anxiety Services

Kathryn Ryan, RN, MSc(N), CPMHN(C), advanced practice nurse, Complex Mental Illness Services

Krystal Arndt, MED, CCC, formerly with the CATS Program

Jacqueline Anderson, RN, MN, CPMHN(C), former advanced practice nurse, Geriatric Mental Health Services

Heather Callendar, MSW, RSW, former outpatient manager, CATS Program

Tim Godden, MSW, RSW, advanced practice clinician, Ambulatory Care and Structured Treatments Program

Laura Jackson, RN, MN, CPMHN(C), former manager, Complex Mental Illness Services

Dr. Irfan A. Mian, MD, FRCPC, former clinical head of the Mood and Anxiety Disorders Service and the Children's Psychotic Disorders Service within the Child, Youth and Family Program

Peter Menzies, PhD, former clinical head, Aboriginal Services, Sagamok Anishnawbek First Nation

Donna Pfefer, BA, BSc, OT Reg (Ont.), occupational therapist, Geriatric Mental Health Services

Dr. Isaac Sakinofsky, MD, DPM (Lond), FRCPC, FRCPsych, professor emeritus, Department of Psychiatry and Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto; head, High Risk Consultation Clinic

# Preface

The impetus for this project was a new Accreditation Canada Required Organizational Practice (ROP) that requires all mental health services to “assess and monitor clients for risk of suicide” (Accreditation Canada, 2010). An interdisciplinary group of CAMH clinical staff came together to develop methods to meet and exceed this standard. Tests for compliance for this ROP include that the organization:

- assesses each client for risk of suicide at regular intervals, or as needs change
- identifies clients at risk of suicide
- addresses the client’s immediate safety needs
- identifies treatment and monitoring strategies to ensure client safety
- documents the treatment and monitoring strategies in the client’s health record (Accreditation Canada, 2014).

The *CAMH Suicide Prevention and Assessment Handbook* is a quick, comprehensive and interactive starting point for staff across all clinical programs on the subject of suicide assessment and management. It provides key clinical information, current CAMH tools and resources, and further population-specific resources.

Suicides can and do occur in clinical practice and when clients are in treatment, despite the best efforts at suicide assessment and treatment. Most CAMH clinical staff have either worked directly with or known clients who have completed suicide. Work with suicidal clients and their families and loved ones often presents emotionally difficult experiences for clinicians. In this context, a significant portion of this handbook is devoted to providing staff with suggestions for caring for themselves.

Because CAMH provides services to a highly diverse population of clients, many of whom have specific concerns and needs in regard to suicide assessment and management, readers are encouraged and directed to review the bibliography for further direction and education.



# Introduction

*It is tempting when looking at the life of anyone who has committed suicide to read into the decision to die a vastly complex web of reasons; and, of course, such complexity is warranted. No one illness or event causes suicide; and certainly no one knows all, or perhaps even most, of the motivations behind the killing of the self. But psychopathology is almost always there, and its deadliness is fierce. Love, success, and friendship are not always enough to counter the pain and destructiveness of severe mental illness.*

— Kay Redfield Jamison, *Night Falls Fast: Understanding Suicide*, 1999

Clinicians at the Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH) frequently carry responsibility for identifying and preventing suicide. Ninety per cent of people who die by suicide have been diagnosed with a serious mental illness; psychiatric disorders and substance abuse problems have been consistently identified as risk factors for suicide and suicidal ideation (Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health and Addiction [CARMHA], 2007; Jacobs, 2007). In general, the more diagnoses present, the higher the risk of suicide. In a psychological autopsy of 229 suicides, 44 per cent had two or more Axis I diagnoses; 31 per cent had Axis I and Axis II diagnoses; 50 per cent had Axis I and at least one Axis III diagnoses and only 12 per cent had an Axis I diagnosis with no comorbidity (Jacobs, 2007).

Relative risk is an epidemiological term that quantifies the risk of an event (or of developing a disease) relative to exposure. Relative risk is a ratio of the probability of the event occurring in the exposed group versus a non-exposed group.

## Relative Risk of Suicide in Specific Disorders

CONDITION	RELATIVE RISK OF SUICIDE
Previous suicide attempt	38.4
Eating disorders	23.1
Bipolar disorder	15.0
Major depression	20.4
Mixed drug abuse	19.2
Dysthymia	12.1
Obsessive-compulsive disorder	11.5
Panic disorder	10.0
Schizophrenia	8.45
Personality disorders	7.08
Alcohol abuse	5.86
Cancer	1.80

- A relative risk of 1 means there is no difference in risk between individuals with the risk factor and the general population.
- A relative risk of < 1 means the event is less likely to occur in individuals with the risk factor than in the general population.
- A relative risk of > 1 means the event is more likely to occur in individuals with the risk factor than in the general population.

(Adapted from *Practice Guideline for the Assessment and Treatment of Patients with Suicidal Behaviors* [American Psychiatric Association, 2003, pp. 30, 41])

# Part I:

## Suicide Risk Assessment and Prevention

Because of the high incidence of suicidality among clients with mental health and substance use problems, all CAMH clinicians, regardless of discipline, should be able to conduct a suicide assessment and to develop safety and treatment plans and/or be aware of program- and service-specific processes to assess and manage suicidality. Screening, assessment and treatment for suicidality need to be considered at all points of entry into the health care system, in day-to-day clinical practice, or at frequent intervals of care, depending on the treatment setting. The severity of suicide risk assessed should inform the care interventions, levels of observation, ongoing screening and treatment approaches (Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario [RNAO], 2009). Health care professionals who lack training and competence in the assessment and treatment of individuals with suicidality have been shown to hinder recovery and the disclosure of suicidality, and to contribute to stigma and poor outcomes (Meerwijk et al., 2010; RNAO, 2009; Pauley, 2008). Therefore, it is essential for professionals to receive adequate training on the screening and assessment of suicidal individuals and for such training to be informed by best practice guidelines (RNAO, 2009).

Suicide risk assessment is critical to the establishment of a clinical judgment of suicide risk over short periods in the very immediate future. It is a necessary and ongoing exercise in the treatment and management of suicidal clients. Suicide risk assessment is a reasoned and inductive process rather than an intuitive one. It is conducted systematically and based on the analysis of available clinical detail (Jacobs, 2007). Information is gathered

through interviews with the client, family members or significant others and other helping professionals involved in care, and by reviewing available clinical records (Jacobs, 2007).

The assessment of potentially suicidal clients begins with a comprehensive psychiatric evaluation that usually includes a mental status examination, and relevant history and physical and/or laboratory examinations (Risk Management Foundation of the Harvard Medical Institutions [RMFHMI], 1996). In a suicide assessment, the clinician:

1. identifies risk factors that contribute to suicidality, distinguishing those that can be modified from those that cannot be changed
2. identifies protective factors
3. conducts an open and detailed inquiry into the client’s suicidal ideation
4. uses information gathered in and for the assessment to distinguish the client’s level of risk, and a treatment and/or safety plan (Jacobs, 2007; RMFHMI, 1996).

Critical areas to explore in a suicide assessment include (Jacobs, 2007):

Psychiatric illness	Comorbidity, mood disorders, alcohol and other substance use, schizophrenia, personality disorders
History	Prior suicide attempts, aborted attempts or self harm, medical diagnoses, family history of suicide attempts, family history of mental illness
Individual strengths/ vulnerabilities	Coping skills, personality traits, past responses to stress, capacity for reality testing, tolerance of psychological pain
Psychosocial situation	Acute and chronic stressors, changes in status, quality of support, religious beliefs
Suicidality and symptoms	Past and present suicidal ideation, plans, behaviours, intent, methods; presence of overt suicidal and/or self-destructive behaviour; hopelessness, anhedonia, anxiety symptoms; reasons for living; associated substance use; homicidal ideation

# When to conduct suicide assessments

A suicide risk assessment should be conducted:

- on admission to an inpatient or outpatient service.

Reassessments should be conducted:


- with any change in clinical status, e.g., occurrence of suicidal ideation/behaviour
- before transitions in care from one service to another
- before increases in privileges or granting passes
- before discharge from inpatient/outpatient services
- if clinically indicated:
  - by another assessment or tool, such as the MSE
  - by a significant change in treatment or medications
  - by collateral information
  - by team discussion or review
  - on return from an unauthorized leave of absence
  - before an overnight or extended pass.

In some settings, like the Emergency Department or with some populations, such as clients with borderline personality disorder or mood disorders, especially depression, clinicians conduct suicide assessments on a daily basis. In outpatient treatment of any duration, assessments should be routinely conducted when there is significant clinical change to warrant concern (i.e., depressive symptoms or substance use, especially when there is a known history or family history of suicidal ideation or attempts). As well, suicide risk should be reassessed at various points throughout treatment, as a client's risk level will increase and decrease over time (Jacobs, 2007; RMFHMI, 1996).

All clinicians who work at entry points to CAMH, such as intake, assessment or consultation services, should pay particular attention to the identification of this form of risk. Such identification can occur within mental status examinations and through the collection of previous medical records.

## SUICIDE RISK FACTORS

Suicide is a complex phenomenon, determined by multiple factors intersecting at one point in the life of the individual:



(Adapted from Jacobs, 2003)

There is not one single predictor of suicide. A central purpose of a suicide assessment is to appreciate the complexity of risk factors that contribute to suicidality and increase the client's acute and chronic risk for suicide (Jacobs, 2007; CARMHA, 2007). As a general rule, clients with multiple risk factors occurring concurrently are at highest risk for suicide; however, any estimation of risk is complicated by the fact that the most lethal suicidal actions are often associated with the least explicit communication of ideation (Jacobs, 2007; CARMHA, 2007). The clinician's open and collaborative stance during the assessment can enhance the reliability and validity of

the risk assessment. In the suicide assessment, the clinician identifies risk factors and distinguishes those that can be modified from those that cannot be changed (Jacobs, 2007). Modifiable risk factors are dynamic and vary in severity (American Psychiatric Association, 2003).

## Risk Factors for Suicide

*(bold italics = modifiable)*

Demographic	<p>Risk increases with age; rates of suicide increase after puberty and in adults over age 65.</p> <p>Risk is greater for males than females; men are more likely to die by suicide and women are more likely to attempt suicide.</p> <p>Canadian trends indicate that people aged 40–59 years have the highest rates of suicide.</p> <p>Risk groups include people who are widowed, divorced, single, white, elderly, adolescent, young adult, Aboriginal, lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgendered.</p>
Access to lethal means	<p><b><i>Possession of firearms.</i></b></p> <p><b><i>Access to large doses of medications.</i></b></p>
Psychosocial	<p>Recent severe, stressful life events such as interpersonal loss (break-up or death of a loved one) or conflict, job loss, financial problems, legal problems, moving.</p>
Psychiatric	<p>Mood disorders, particularly depression, are among the strongest risk factors for suicide.</p> <p>Anxiety disorders, especially those co-occurring with mood disorders and substance use disorders, are associated with an increased risk for suicide and suicidal ideation.</p> <p>Schizophrenia can contribute to an elevated risk for suicide, particularly during the initial years of the illness.</p> <p>Borderline personality disorder.</p> <p>Comorbidity of psychiatric illnesses.</p>

Substance use	<p><b>Intoxication</b> is a common factor in suicides.</p> <p><b>Use of multiple substances</b> can trigger suicidal behaviour.</p> <p><b>Withdrawal from cocaine, amphetamines and other addictive drugs</b> can increase suicidal ideation and attempts.</p> <p><b>Extended use of sedatives, hypnotics and anxiolytics</b> can increase suicidal ideation and attempts.</p>
Physical illness	Malignant neoplasms, HIV/AIDS, peptic ulcer disease, hemodialysis, systemic lupus erthematosus, pain syndromes, functional impairment, diseases of nervous system, physical disorders (e.g., undiagnosed diabetes, iron/thyroid deficiency) are a common factor in suicides of individuals over 60 years old.
Psychological dimensions	<b>Hopelessness, psychic pain/anxiety, psychological turmoil, decreased self-esteem, fragile narcissism, perfectionism.</b>
Behavioural dimensions	<p><b>Impulsivity, aggression, severe anxiety, panic attacks, agitation.</b></p> <p>Prior suicide attempt: History of a suicide attempt dramatically increases future risk for suicide.</p>
Cognitive dimensions	<p><b>Thought constriction.</b></p> <p><b>Polarized thinking.</b></p>
Childhood trauma	Sexual/physical abuse, neglect, parental loss/separations.
Genetic and familial	Family history of suicide, mental illness or abuse.

(Adapted from Navaneelan, 2012; CARMHA, 2007; Jacobs, 2007; RMFHMI, 1996)

## PROTECTIVE FACTORS

In addition to risk factors, and sometimes overlooked, suicide risk assessment should identify protective factors that reduce suicide risk. Although clients who exhibit protective factors do attempt and complete suicide, multiple protective factors generally contribute to client resiliency in the face of stress and adversity. Protective factors may be considered in each of the domains of the individual, family, work and community. Important protective factors may include:

- children in the home, except among those with postpartum psychosis



- responsibility to others
- pregnancy
- personal, social, cultural and religious beliefs that discourage suicide and support self-preservation
- life satisfaction
- reality testing ability
- positive coping skills
- positive social support
- positive therapeutic relationship
- attachment to therapy, social or family support
- hope for future
- self-efficacy
- supportive living arrangements
- fear of act of suicide
- fear of social disapproval (World Health Organization, 2012; Jacobs, 2007; CARMHA, 2007; RMFHMI, 1996).

## Suggested reflective questions about risk and protective factors

### **Does the client have the capacity to act?**

Suicide requires the ability to organize and the energy to implement a plan. Suicide potential may be heightened when there is greater energy (early recovery from depression) or lowered inhibition (with intoxication or rage).

### **Have suicidal behaviours occurred in the past?**

### **Is the client hopeless?**

Hopelessness is a key factor in suicidal intent and behaviour and it is often accompanied by pervasive negative expectations.

### **Are depression and/or despair present?**

Depression is a mood state associated with vegetative symptoms.

Despair is a cognitive state that is characterized by a sense of futility about alternatives, the absence of a sense of a future role, and a lack of social connections for support.

**Is a diagnosable psychiatric disorder present that is correlated with suicidality?**

**Does the client's physiological state (illness, intoxication, pain) increase the potential for suicide?**

**Are intoxicants present?**

Acute intoxication or withdrawal can lead to an acute increase in suicide risk. Evaluation is difficult when the client is intoxicated. A safe place should be provided until the client becomes sober and the client should be reassessed for suicide risk when sober.

Chronic substance use or dependence may result in chronic risk.

Suicide risk can be elevated when a relapse occurs.

**Has the client recently experienced loss, disappointment, humiliation, failure (real or imagined)? Is the client facing loss, disappointment, humiliation, failure (real or imagined)?**

Interpersonal loss can be an important precipitant.

**Has the client lost or does he or she anticipate losing his or her main reason for living?**

**Is there any disruption in the client's support system?**

**Is the client vulnerable to painful affects such as isolation, self-contempt, shame or panic?**

**What are the client's capacities for self-regulation?**

Does the client have any history of impulsive behaviour?

Does he or she have the ability to use external resources to regulate self-esteem?

### **Is the client able to participate in treatment?**

Does the client verbalize his or her willingness to comply with the treatment plan?

Does he or she have the capacity to make an alliance?

(Adapted from RNAO, 2009; RMFHMI, 1996)

## **SUICIDE INQUIRY**

In the assessment, it is essential that the client is asked directly about suicidal ideation, including any plans to complete suicide, the availability of means to kill himself or herself and deterrents (Jacobs, 2007). In this context, added concern and consideration should be given when the client has a history of previous suicide attempts, both actual and aborted, when the client is experiencing his or her first episode of suicidality, when the client expresses feelings of hopelessness, and when the client has a history of psychological pain. Also, it is worth noting whether the client is ambivalent about such an action, as ambivalence represents an important opportunity for intervention.

### **Components of suicidal ideation**

- Intent: subjection, expectation and desire for a self-destructive act to end in death
- Lethality: objective danger to life associated with a suicide method or action. Lethality is distinct from and may not always coincide with an individual's expectation of what is medically dangerous
- Degree of ambivalence: wish to live, wish to die
- Intensity
- Frequency
- Rehearsal; availability of method
- Making final arrangements, putting one's affairs in order
- Deterrents (e.g., family, religion, positive therapeutic relationship, positive support system, including work)

(Jacobs, 2007; CARMHA, 2007; RMFHMI, 1996)

## Suggested reflective suicide-specific questions

**Are suicidal thoughts and/or feelings present?**

**What form does the client's wish for suicide take?**

**What does suicide mean to the client?**

**Is a suicide plan present?**

**How far has the suicide planning process proceeded?**

- specific method, place, time
- available means
- planned sequence of events
- intended goal (e.g., death, self-injury or another outcome).

**Does the client have access to weapons?**

Document any conversation about access to guns or other lethal weapons.

**Has the client engaged in self-mutilating behaviours?**

When a history of trauma or abuse is present, it may be valuable to assess the presence of a mood disorder.

Although self-mutilation is frequently an act of self-soothing rather than an attempt to die, clients who self-mutilate do sometimes die by suicide.

**How lethal are any planned actions?**

Objectively assess danger to the client's life.

Avoid terms such as gesture or manipulation, since they imply a motive that may be absent or irrelevant to lethality.

Bizarre methods have less predictable results and may therefore carry greater risk.

Pay attention to violent, irreversible methods such as shooting or jumping.

**Is there likelihood of rescue?**

Clients who contemplate a plan likely to end in discovery may be more ambivalent than others who plan their suicidal behaviour to occur in an isolated setting.

**What preparations has the client made?**

Obtaining pills, preparing suicide note, making financial arrangements.

**Has the client rehearsed for suicide?**

Rigging a noose, driving near a bridge.

**Is there a history of overt suicidal and/or self-destructive behaviour?**

Explore the circumstances of any past suicide attempts. While a history of past attempts is a significant risk factor, absence of previous suicidality does *not* eliminate the risk of current or future attempts.

(Adapted from RNAO, 2009; RMFHMI, 1996)

## LEVEL OF RISK AND TREATMENT PLANS

Estimating the level of risk requires carefully reviewing all available information, including risk factors, warning signs, protective factors, psychiatric illness and history, current symptoms and mental status exam results, as well as collateral information obtained from available sources. Suicidal ideation and behaviour may be present even when they are not explicitly expressed by the client. If many risk factors are present, the mediating role of protective factors may be diminished in the moment, and may not be enough to stop the person from completing suicide. Overall, estimating risk is best conducted using clinical judgment in collaboration with the health care team (RNAO, 2009).

Once an assessment of the client's suicide risk has been made, an individual treatment plan must be designed. Interventions should focus on factors that can be changed, risk factors that can be decreased and protective factors that can be strengthened (Jacobs & Brewer, 2006). Whenever possible, treatment planning should be developed in collaboration between providers and clients.

Sometimes it may be possible or necessary to include significant others in treatment planning. At CAMH, involvement in developing and implementing treatment plans is highly dependent on the discipline of involved clinicians and the nature of the service. Psychiatrists will most often recommend and initiate somatic treatment modalities; however, discussions with team members and colleagues of other disciplines may be critical to the generation of these plans. Moreover, professionals in nursing and social work may be central to the development and implementation of other elements of the plan such as family education or ongoing monitoring of the client. Generally, treatment plans should address the client's immediate safety, the most appropriate setting for immediate treatment, the strength of the alliance between the clinician and client, long- and short-term goals, and client and family education. For clients with high or imminent risk, inpatient hospitalization is frequently necessary and may need to be imposed involuntarily (Jacobs, 2007; CARMHA, 2007; RMFHMI, 1996).

## Forms of treatment for suicidality

- Somatic treatment modalities:
  - addressing the client's psychiatric disorder (mood disorders, psychotic disorders, substance use disorders, etc.) and symptoms such as depression, anxiety, agitation, hopelessness or insomnia (Jacobs & Brewer, 2006)
  - ECT and pharmacotherapy, such as antidepressants, mood stabilizers, antipsychotics and benzodiazepines
- Psychotherapeutic interventions:
  - crisis intervention, problem-solving approaches, strategies to increase coping skills, development of resources such as community supports
  - cognitive-behavioural therapy (CBT)
  - dialectical behaviour therapy (DBT)
  - interpersonal therapy (IP)
- Education for client and family
- Monitoring of psychiatric status and response to treatment
- Frequent reassessment for safety and suicide risk (Jacobs, 2007)

## SUICIDE RISK ASSESSMENT TOOLS

A number of suicide risk assessment tools (e.g., screening tools and rating scales) have been developed. These tools can be used to gather auxiliary information to inform the clinical assessment but should never replace

clinical judgment of risk (Canadian Patient Safety Institute, 2010; RNAO, 2009). Such tools have low predictive ability and yield high false positive and false negative rates. If used, they should be incorporated into the clinical interview and administered only after a therapeutic rapport has been established with the client (Perlman et al., 2011). A full description and critique of risk assessment tools can be found in the *Suicide Risk Assessment Resource: A Guide for Health Care Organizations* published by the Ontario Hospital Association and the Canadian Patient Safety Institute (Perlman et al., 2011).

## SAFETY PLANS

When clients exhibit suicidal ideation, regardless of estimated risk, teams and/or clinicians should develop crisis or safety plans in collaboration with clients, and they should revisit these plans whenever there is a change in risk level. Safety or crisis plans are distinct from treatment plans. They typically outline how clients should respond to their suicidal urge by outlining coping and problem-solving skills and abilities (CARMHA, 2007).

Safety plans are formulated in response to a client at risk for suicide, regardless of level of risk. Ideally, these plans are developed in conjunction with the primary therapist, clinical team and client. They include potential triggers, coping strategies, resources and protective factors, such as family and friends who can be contacted for support. Safety plans are frequently used in outpatient and community settings, but may also be implemented in inpatient environments, particularly when granting privileges and passes. Multiple individuals and agencies may be identified in safety plans, including family physicians, 24-hour crisis services, friends, religious or spiritual advisers and family support systems. Individuals and agencies listed in these plans should be involved in developing them and should be familiar with their role in facilitating client safety. Ideally, copies of the plan should be circulated to individuals and agencies identified within it (CARMHA, 2007).

The CAMH Safety and Comfort Plan can be used to help clients become more aware of their experience of stress, identify triggers contributing to suicidal ideation and behaviour and outline coping strategies and supportive activities. It should also indicate with whom clients want to share their plan. The plan is completed in collaboration with the client, to whom a

copy can be provided. The CAMH Guide to Wellness and Comfort Activities is an excellent resource that clients can use to identify coping activities for self-soothing and preventing distress or a crisis.

See Appendix III for a screenshot of the Safety and Comfort Plan in I-CARE and a safety plan template for coping with suicidal thoughts.

## Suicide contracts or no harm contracts

These are verbal or written agreements between clients and clinicians that clients will not harm or kill themselves if they are experiencing suicidal ideation. There are no studies indicating that these contracts do indeed reduce suicide. In fact, clients may avoid voicing suicidal intent, and therapists may overly rely on the contract rather than conducting a more robust assessment (CAMH, 2011; Jacobs, 2003; Sakinofsky, 2010a). For these reasons, CAMH does not endorse the use of suicide or no harm contracts. Instead, a proactive safety plan is used.

# Documentation of suicide risk assessment

Documentation of the risk for suicide is every clinician's responsibility!

Documentation is a standard of practice for all regulated health care professionals and is an integral part of the assessment and care of clients who are at risk of suicide (RNAO, 2009). Clear and complete documentation of suicide risk assessment helps to ensure:

- communication among the team of health care providers (Clinical Resource and Audit Group [CRAG], 2002)
- ongoing assessment of the need for observation of the client (CRAG, 2002)
- development, clarification and promotion of an appropriate plan of care (CARMHA, 2004; Sullivan et al., 2005)
- evaluation of the client's progress (Horrocks et al., 2004)
- quality monitoring (Horrocks et al., 2004).

Documentation also helps clinicians to sharpen their focus and provide a rationale for decisions. In essence, documentation helps clinicians to demonstrate accountability for the care that they are providing (Simon, 2004).



### **When to document the assessment of risk for suicide**

1. At first psychiatric assessment and/or triage (including intake to a service or admission to an inpatient unit).
2. Whenever there is a change with the client's clinical state (e.g., mood, life situation, new occurrence of suicidal ideation or behaviour).
3. When a family member, significant other, friend or staff member expresses concern of suicidality.
4. With any major shift in the treatment plan.
5. With any incident of suicidal behaviour or ideation.
6. At any change in the level of care (e.g., change in precautions or observation, including before increasing inpatient privileges).
7. Before terminating a relationship (including at discharge from the inpatient unit), with consideration for both immediate and chronic risk.


### **Elements of the suicide risk assessment for documentation**

- Risk level
- Basis of the risk, including:
  - presence of any warning signs
  - risk factors
  - protective factors
- Treatment planning process and plan for reducing the risk level, including:
  - the range of options considered and why one was chosen over others
  - any interventions or consultation, including changes made to the previous plan and the rationale for the changes
  - any changes that were considered but rejected, and why
  - communications with the client
  - discharge planning to include:
    - living arrangements, work, communication with significant others
    - follow-up appointments or contact with outpatient provider
    - medications (including prescriptions)
    - current suicide assessment

Documentation of any suicide risk assessment is expected to be in accordance with the documentation standards established by relevant regulatory bodies and/or professional associations, as well as those of CAMH.

Suicide risk assessment occurs within the context of a comprehensive mental status examination. The reason for the assessment, consultation with other professionals (e.g., physician, nurse, peer) communication with the client, and any tools used in the assessment are included in the documentation. Documentation also reflects the basis for clinical judgment and decision making through the plan of action in relation to the degree of risk for suicide that the client presents.

Example (Jacobs, 2007)



While documentation is an essential step in communicating an assessment, clinicians also need to share their findings with their teams through verbal reports. Practically speaking, it is difficult to predict when all health care professionals will be able to review the documentation. The timing and number of verbal reports to team members will depend on the urgency of the risk assessment. With high or moderate levels of risk, staff should consider contacting the treating physician or physician on call (duty doctor), charge nurse and other team members to discuss a second opinion and a plan for safety. Additionally, the risk assessment should be discussed in shift reports, team/clinical reviews and transfers. Generally, in shift change and transfer reports, clinicians should ensure that they communicate:

- level of risk
- pertinent demographics

- observation status
- risk factors
- mental status
- medications
- plan.

## CAMH-SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS AND TOOLS

### I. Suicide Risk Assessment power forms

Within CAMH's electronic health record or I-CARE, suicide risk assessments and reassessments are documented in power forms. These are accessed through the AdHoc folder within PowerChart. There are two power forms: 1) Suicide Risk Assessment and 2) Suicide Risk Reassessment. The Suicide Risk Assessment power form is used for admission assessments (inpatient and outpatient services). The reassessment power form is used for subsequent assessments.

The screenshot shows a web-based form titled "Current Suicidal Ideation and Behaviour". The form is part of a "Suicide Risk Assessment" power form. It includes several sections for data entry:

- Subsided thoughts present:** A radio button for "Yes" and a text box for "Describe".
- Intensity, frequency and duration of suicidal thoughts:** A text box.
- Ability to resist acting on suicidal thoughts:** Radio buttons for "Yes" and "No", and a text box for "Describe".
- Preoccupants:** A text box.
- What led to seeking help and who was involved?** A text box.

The form is displayed in a browser window with a navigation pane on the left and a status bar at the bottom.

### 2. Additional areas of documentation in I-CARE

Physicians may document suicide risk assessments in dynamic documentation within I-CARE. The Emergency Department has an abbreviated section of the suicide risk assessment power form incorporated into its multidisciplinary assessment. Some admission assessments and intake assessments have a few questions related to suicide; however, they do not replace the need for a full suicide risk assessment.

### 3. RAI-MH (Resident Assessment Instrument–Mental Health)

The RAI-MH is an interdisciplinary assessment that must be completed within 72 hours of admission, at discharge and every three months during an inpatient admission. Areas of the assessment that are relevant to suicide include questions related to:

- threat or danger to self
- consideration of performing a self-injurious act
- most recent time client considered performing a self-injurious act
- self-injurious attempts
- whether intent of any self-injurious act was to kill self
- concerns expressed by family, caregivers, friends or staff that client is at risk for self-injury
- presence of a suicide plan in last 30 days.

### 4. Suicide Risk IPOC (Interprofessional Plan of Care)

The Suicide Risk IPOC summarizes client and team goals and associated interventions for clients at risk for suicide. It outlines a number of goals, outcomes and interventions specific to clients at risk. Client-specific goals and interventions can be added to tailor the plan to the individual. Client progress related to the goals is documented in the IPOC (see Appendix II for the Suicide Risk IPOC).

## CAMH resources for documentation of suicide risk assessment

- Suicide Risk Assessment quick reference card for I-CARE
- Suicide Risk Assessment laminated card

## INVOLVEMENT OF FAMILY, SIGNIFICANT OTHERS AND FRIENDS

Family members, significant others and friends will need to be educated about suicide risk by thorough discussion of what to look for and how to recognize more subtle behaviours. One purpose of such discussion may be to enlist family members to help their relative maintain a safety and treatment plan (CARMHA, 2007). Essentially, family members and/or significant others may be integrated into the treatment plan and process,

especially during periods of heightened risk (Jacobs, 2007; CARMHA, 2003). These roles should be made explicit both in the treatment plan and to family members and significant others. They should be clear about how (i.e., monitoring risks outside of appointments or on passes from hospital) and when they should be involved (i.e., attendance at sessions, marital or family therapy) (Jacobs, 2007; CARMHA, 2007).

## Tips for communicating with families, friends and significant others

The following points have been adapted from CARMHA (2007).

Provide family members, significant others and friends with basic information about:

- mental health diagnosis
- behaviours and their management
- medication benefits and possible side-effects
- inpatient and community services
- local and national support groups.

Then help family, friends and significant others to understand their loved one's circumstances:

- the current situation
- confidentiality restrictions
- the treatment plan
- crisis or safety plans
- the roles of involved professionals
- how to access help, including out-of-regular-hours services.

## SUPERVISION AND CONSULTATION

For each suicide assessment and over the course of work with suicidal clients, it is especially important to formally and informally consult with team members and professional colleagues and to seek supervision through regular case reviews, observation and/or formal discussion (RNAO, 2009; CARMHA, 2007). These consultations can reflect on decision making such as the designation of risk, the need for a client

to be hospitalized, or ways to increase client safety in the community (CARMHA, 2007).

Since work with suicidal clients can be both professionally and emotionally challenging, clinicians should pay attention to their own reactions because these reactions may interfere with their treatment of the suicidal client (particularly those that are chronically suicidal). For example, clients' feelings of hopelessness may be transmitted to clinicians and/or clinicians may be influenced by their clients' beliefs about suicide. In this context, informal and formal conversations with colleagues may provide important opportunities to obtain both advice and support. These discussions may help to reduce the isolation and stress that is often a component of work with suicidal clients (CARMHA, 2007).

## Where to get help

Depending on the setting, there are many avenues for clinical consultation and supervision at CAMH:

- Many areas have advanced practice nurses and clinicians who provide regular supervision to staff and who should be consulted in challenging cases.
- Team reviews and meetings should be used to review and discuss cases.
- Experienced colleagues can be approached for advice in particularly challenging cases or can be asked to conduct formal consultations.
- Informal discussions with team members are often the most frequent source of debriefing support and advice for clinicians in all of their casework.
- Depending on their background, managers sometimes provide clinical supervision: they should also be approached if regular clinical supervision is required but not currently available.

## What to ask

The following are some questions to consider prior to and during clinical consultation and supervision (CARMHA, 2007):

- How can I be more effective in caring for a suicidal client?
- What can I do to increase this person's safety?
- Does this client need to be hospitalized?

- What can ease this person's pain and perturbation even by the slightest amount and how can I facilitate that?
- What is realistically and conservatively possible for me to be available to the client? Only scheduled sessions? Telephone calls (scheduled or as needed)? During the day, evenings, weekends? Crisis sessions?
- For occasions when I am not available, what supports are there?
- If a pattern has been identified as leading to suicidal behaviour in the past, what can interrupt the pattern? What has interrupted it in the past?
- What skills does the client say will be useful to him or her?

## RESTRICTION OF THE HEALTH RECORD

In the event of a completed suicide, the client health record will be restricted. Details are outlined in CAMH's policy Restriction of Health Records. This means that any hard-copy clinical documents from the health record (e.g., inpatient binder) will be removed from the unit or program and will be delivered to the Health Records Department for scanning into the electronic health record. While clinicians are able to continue to include necessary documentation in I-CARE, any entries will be dated contemporaneously; no entries shall be backdated or altered. The record will be flagged as restricted, and access to the record will be audited.

## Treatment and management of suicidal patients

### THE THERAPEUTIC RELATIONSHIP

CAMH clinicians serve in a variety of capacities with clients and on teams. Regardless of role or discipline, it is essential to attempt to understand and appreciate the client's situation and to treat the client with respect and openness. The alliance between the clinician and client is essential to the treatment and management of suicidal clients and should be considered and addressed in any treatment plans (Perlman et al, 2011; CARMHA, 2007).

When clinicians have the opportunity to develop therapeutic alliances with clients over time, clients can be assisted in identifying recurrent interpersonal problems, including those that may have occurred in previous therapeutic relationships (Jacobs, 2007; CARMHA, 2007). This relationship can also be used to target issues such as the denial of symptoms or a lack of insight and/or to manage high risk symptoms such as hopelessness and anxiety (CARMHA, 2007).

### **Suggestions for reducing dropouts in outpatient treatment**

- Provide clients with follow-up appointment at time of intake.
- Schedule timely follow-up appointments.
- Remind clients of appointments by telephone.
- Provide access to 24-hour clinical back-up for crises, which may include identification of a specific emergency department.
- Pursue no-shows by phone calls and letters (unless this compromises client confidentiality).
- Involve family members and significant others in treatment. (CARMHA, 2007)

## **PRIVACY AND CONFIDENTIALITY**

Ensuring that a client's right to privacy is respected is extremely important. The Mental Health Act ([MHA], 1990), Public Hospitals Act ([PHA], 1990) and the Personal Health Information Privacy Act ([PHIPA], 2004) work together to outline required practices in relation to privacy, consent and confidentiality; however, the obligation to intervene if a client's well-being is at risk outweighs his or her right to privacy. Both the MHA and PHIPA allow for several exceptions related to release of personal health information, including allowing disclosure if it is required to "eliminate or reduce a significant risk of bodily harm to a person or group of persons."

Weighing the risks and benefits of breaking confidentiality can present a significant ethical dilemma for clinicians. In order to ensure that a client is truly informed, tell him or her from the initial contact about the limits of confidentiality and your ethical and legal obligation to release information.



Outline that this obligation may include the release of information to next of kin, significant others and police when you are concerned about their imminent well-being.

**In cases in which a clinician's judgment suggests that a client's safety or well-being is imminently at risk, the concerns about safety (and associated decisions to release information) override considerations about confidentiality.**

## PREVENTING SUICIDE IN THE INPATIENT ENVIRONMENT: AWOL AND VOLUNTARY PATIENTS

Inpatient care is seen to be effective in the treatment of acute rather than chronic suicidality, offering safety, support, and hope (RMFHMI, 1996). Most often, the inpatient treatment of suicidal clients progresses through a hierarchy of observation and privilege levels to therapeutic passes (RMFHMI, 1996). Although suicidality may persist, privileges are increased and levels of observation are decreased as suicidal risk is reduced. Levels of observation and privileges are discussed in two CAMH policies: "Observation of Clients/Patients on an Inpatient Unit" and "Passes and Privileges for Patients."

### Observation and privileges

In inpatient settings, levels of observation, supervision and privileges should "parallel the clients' potential for suicidal behaviour" (RMFHMI, 1996).

Examples of observation levels are:

- continuous observation (1:1 or remaining in full view of staff members)
- restricting the client to an area where he or she can be seen at all times by staff
- restricting the client to public areas; not allowing him or her to be alone in room
- close observation (checks at 15-minute intervals).

Examples of privilege levels:

- unit privileges (restricted to the unit)
- pass on hospital grounds accompanied by staff
- pass on hospital grounds unaccompanied
- community privileges.

## Voluntary clients

“I’m not allowed to ask a voluntary client where she is going when she leaves the unit.”

“Voluntary clients all have privileges to be in the community all day every day.”

These are not facts; these are common misconceptions about voluntary clients.

While Ontario’s Mental Health Act (1990) says that voluntary clients are capable of making the decision either to stay or leave the hospital, it allows some room for staff to intervene if the client is at risk for suicide. A voluntary client agrees to be in the hospital for the purposes of observation, care and treatment. Part of the treatment plan may include limitations on the time that clients are able to leave the unit or restrictions on access to the community (much like privileges). Part of implementing the client’s treatment plan is abiding by the passes and privileges that the client has agreed to comply with as part of his or her care; voluntary or not, staff are accountable for knowing the whereabouts of their clients. Voluntary clients are able to decide to leave the hospital and cannot be detained against their wishes. However, staff may attempt to intervene prior to the client’s departure if there is reason to suspect that he or she may pose a risk of harm to himself or herself. If the client meets criteria for involuntary admission, the doctor may put the client on a Form 3, Certificate of Involuntary Admission to ensure that he or she stays in hospital. If the client leaves despite concerns or does not return when agreed, the team may consider the need to compel the client to return to the hospital. The doctor may issue a Form 1, Application for Psychiatric Assessment, if he or she feels that the client meets the criteria for continued assessment. A Form 1 allows police to intervene and return the client to CAMH.

## AWOL and suicide

“The first steps in reducing suicide rates in inpatient settings are to increase focus on AWOL and tighten the mental status assessment completed prior to letting clients leave on passes” (Sakinofsky, 2010a).

The majority of inpatients who complete suicide do so outside of hospital during either an authorized leave or AWOL (Gordon, 2002). AWOL is “a common feature within psychiatric wards” and a “significant risk factor for suicide” (Hunt et al., 2010). In this context, clinicians’ and teams’ management of and approach to AWOL may assist in the prevention of suicide.

The following are suggested methods to prevent and manage absconding behaviour:

- *Identify those at risk for AWOL:* One of the strongest predictors for AWOL is previous absconding behaviour. Review a new client’s history to identify a high risk for AWOL.
- *Identify and document AWOL when it occurs:* It has been well established that clients who AWOL for shorter periods, who are voluntary, or who are not perceived as being at risk for suicide are not reported as absconding (Muir-Cochrane & Mosel, 2008; Dickens & Campbell, 2001; Bowers et al., 2000). SCORE reports from CAMH seem to indicate a similar trend. Failure to identify AWOL is problematic because:
  - It has a negative impact on predicting future outcomes and understanding treatment needs.
  - It may limit our ability to identify those who are at risk. Gordon (2002) summarized a British Department of Health study that found that 80 per cent of the inpatients who completed suicide were thought to have been at low risk at the time of death.
- *AWOL isn’t just escaping in the middle of the night:* The majority of absconding occurs in the daytime, after clients have been given permission to leave the ward unaccompanied, after clients have become more organized and with many considered ready for discharge (Bowers et al., 2010; Khisty et al., 2008; Bowers et al., 1998a).
- *It is important to document AWOL when it happens:* Muir-Cochrane and Mosel (2008) described quality documentation related to AWOL as including previous incidents, outcomes of AWOL incidents, and risk assessment and harm minimization specific to AWOL.

- *Follow up with the client after AWOL and consider if changes to the treatment plan are needed:* Bowers et al. (1998b) found that in 73 per cent of cases, no changes were made to management plans for clients following returns from AWOL; Dickens and Campbell (2001) added that no special measures were taken with clients known to be repeat absconders compared to single-event absconders. Given that we know that past AWOL is a significant risk factor for suicide, it is prudent to assess the need to refine the client's treatment plan following AWOL events, based on individual risk factors, known stressors and increased needs for support.

## REMOVING RISKS IN THE ENVIRONMENT

Suicide affects all programs within the context of hospital care. When working with clients in the mental health spectrum, it is imperative to take all measures in providing the safest environments. When informed precautions are made on an inpatient unit, staff have greater control over the safety of a client's environment; in the outpatient setting this is a more difficult task. When assessing for suicidal risk, it is important to assess the physical environment.

### Inpatient settings

The goal of environmental safeguards in psychiatric institutions is twofold: (a) to limit the means that inpatients may use to physically harm themselves and (b) to provide external control for inpatients through staff interventions until the inpatients are able to re-establish internal control over their suicidal impulses. Environmental safeguards involve the elimination or restructuring of physical features that may be used by inpatients to engage in self-injurious behaviour (Cardell et al., 2009).

The physical environment must be inspected and evaluated to ensure safety without negative impact on the treatment capabilities (Farberow, 1981). The most frequent method of attempts—jumping and hanging—influence the safeguards recommended in the literature on suicide prevention.

Safeguards in all patient areas include:

- safety glass in all windows
- no window openings
- stairwells secured and no access to the roof
- elimination of articles or materials for hanging, including:
  - shower curtain rods
  - clothes hooks (if used should not be able to support the weight of a person)
  - rails in bathrooms
  - exposed pipes
  - telephone cords.

Staff supervision may be necessary during the suicidal client's use of:

- sharps (nail cutters, razors, scissors)
- bathroom
- kitchen (RMFHMI, 1996).

Creating and reviewing policies and procedures regarding the storage of clients' belongings and their access to non-patient areas may help to address the changing risk of the clients' environment. When monitoring the traffic in and out of the unit, one can better control dangerous items that could be brought in by clients or visitors and that pose a safety risk to clients on the unit. When a unit has patients who are AWOL risks, a sign could alert staff and visitors.

The RAO (2009) guideline *The Assessment and Care of Adults with Suicidal Ideation and Behaviour* includes monitoring items used within the daily functioning of the unit, in addition to protecting and storing these items appropriately when not in use. For example, a common linen hamper could be dismantled and used as a weapon; cabinets can be taken apart and removable headboards inspected to ensure no safety risks are present.

The inpatient unit can also implement safeguards in clients' personal rooms, as in dining rooms and occupational therapy kitchens by removing articles easily used for self-harm, including belts, suspenders, bathrobe cords, shoe laces, glass, vases, razors, nail clippers, knitting needles, bottle openers and can openers (Matakas & Rohrbach, 2007).

## Outpatient settings

Once a client leaves a clinician's office and returns to the community, there is minimal ability to "remove risks from their environment" and, therefore, there are no real safeguards for control. While there is a suggestion that environmental safeguards do decrease the incidence of suicide, these safeguards should not be depended upon solely. Instead, they should be combined with observation and supportive, caring therapeutic interventions focused on increasing clients' self-esteem and decreasing a sense of hopelessness (Cardell et al., 2009).

According to the Canadian Association for Suicide Prevention, environmental risks in the outpatient setting include job loss, problems with interpersonal relationships, access to lethal means and local clusters of suicide.

## Part II: The Aftermath of Client Suicide

### Supporting staff in the aftermath of client suicide

The completed suicide of a client has a strong emotional impact on staff involved in his or her care. The event is particularly catastrophic when it occurs on an inpatient unit. As Bartels (1987) points out, the survivors include not only the family of the deceased but also fellow clients, the therapist, the staff and the institution with its culture and norms. A client's suicide evokes a range of emotions that include denial, shock, disbelief, guilt, a sense of failure and loss in professional confidence.

A study conducted by Ruskin et al. (2004) surveyed the impact of suicide on medical residents. One-half reported at least one suicide during their training, indicating the need for preparation and support. The findings also showed that more than two-thirds of respondents mentioned that they kept to themselves after the tragic event. This draws attention to the need for active outreach to individuals and teams. The study found that there was a group of practitioners that was more vulnerable and experienced signs of acute stress disorder and posttraumatic stress disorder. This finding highlights the importance of a range of resources and supportive organizational practices.

Unlike surgical or palliative care units, client death by suicide is not an expected occurrence in mental health settings. While mental health

practitioners are aware of the morbidity associated with mental illness, “mastery by repetition” is less likely. This means that we may continuously anticipate a client death by suicide but are less likely to be faced with a death. Bartels (1987) notes that this may, in fact, create a false sense of mastery and the illusion that all suicides can be prevented. In this respect, ongoing training of staff and students around assessment of suicide risk is essential. This training would also include a discussion about the eventuality of suicide despite good clinical care. Bartels (1987) concludes that without a climate of anticipation, a suicide on a unit is severely traumatic.

## PHASES OF RECOVERY

Following a client suicide, individual staff members and teams are faced with a number of challenges that require specific responses to facilitate recovery. If the suicide occurs on an inpatient unit, the acute needs of fellow patients are a priority to help contain the crisis and prevent further self-destructive acts.

The time immediately following a suicide is a critical period as the initial response by staff and clients is characterized by shock, disbelief, confusion and disorientation. Some clients may experience panic and emotional flooding. To help contain staff and client reactions, a number of tasks, outlined here, are helpful.

### Initial responses

An initial staff meeting is essential to ensure all staff are informed and a practical management plan is established. This includes assignment of tasks, such as discussion of observation levels, need for additional staff, review of passes and transfer requests from other units. The latter may need to be put on hold until the immediate crisis is contained. The focus of the initial staff meeting, therefore, should not focus on emotional processing of the event, which could in fact be harmful.

Another essential component of the immediate aftermath of suicide is to ensure that the appropriate steps are taken to document and inform all



essential stakeholders. This includes family, the Quality and Safety Office and hospital administration. Further details regarding this process are to follow. For guidelines in working with families, this handbook contains a separate section, “Supporting Families in the Aftermath of Suicide” (see page 38). Other parties to be informed may include community therapists or case managers.

In addition to the initial staff meeting, a staff–client community meeting is essential. It is recommended that this happen in a timely fashion to accomplish the goals of containment, support and crisis intervention. The “Supporting Clients in the Aftermath of Suicide” section of this handbook (see page 35) provides further details and practical suggestions.

## Processing of the event

Following the immediate shock and disbelief, staff members often experience a host of emotions, including feelings of guilt, and fears of recrimination, shame or despair. This is often accompanied by feelings of anger at the client, the family or the institution. Finally, this may be followed by self-doubt, depression and search for meaning. Clinicians may feel that they can no longer rely on their clinical judgment. Outpatient staff may feel a sense of isolation and loneliness. Bartels (1987) noted that staff may be painfully aware of the silent accusation by others or oversimplified explanations.

It is during this period of “recoil” that the focus shifts to the need to process the event. There are a number of activities that will assist in this working through. These include debriefing meetings, clinical and peer supervision, and ward meetings. In facilitating such process meetings it is important to let staff express painful feelings while containing accusatory or blaming remarks. The RNAO (2009) suggests that bringing in an outside consultant to assist in the debriefing can be helpful.

Involvement in formal “rituals of death” can also be a step in the recovery process. This refers to practices such as attendance at the funeral, sending of cards or flowers and memorial services for staff and clients. The section on supporting families will further elaborate on these issues and include boundary and confidentiality issues.

Bartels (1987) suggests that a suicide review conference may be an important component of bringing closure to the processing phase. This idea was initially called “psychological autopsy,” a term coined by suicidologist E.S. Shneidman. The conference aims at discussing the possible underlying dynamics of the event. As mentioned earlier, this task is to be undertaken once staff has regained equilibrium.

## Recovery and renewal

The final phase of recovery following a client suicide is to move away from processing the event to be able to anticipate the possibility of future losses. Staff members will have regained confidence and are once again able to work effectively with suicidal clients. It is also a time to review existing processes of assessment, communication and unit structure.

CAMH has a number of important guidelines that assist teams and individuals in the event of a client suicide. A comprehensive summary of practices can be accessed through CAMH’s policy “Death of a Client/Patient.” This policy provides step-by-step guidelines, definitions and references to assist teams in all practical aspects of dealing with a death on the unit. It outlines the reporting structure, documentation guidelines and responsibility of all stakeholders. It is helpful if teams periodically review these guidelines in an effort to be crisis prepared.

The RNAO offers a number of practical suggestions to assist teams in the event of a suicide. These are summarized in the Nursing Best Practice Guideline *Assessment and Care of Adults at Risk for Suicidal Ideation and Behaviour* (RNAO 2009). The guidelines suggest that helpful postvention (an intervention held after a suicide) strategies include a package of written information covering grief and coping strategies, a reading list, contact information for staff on local bereavement services and related matters. The document also summarizes a list of staff debriefing strategies, such as using existing traumatic incident response plans, and bringing in an external consultant. As well, the use of existing risk management procedures should be implemented to identify those at risk for severe grief responses and suicidal behaviour.

Some staff members will experience the suicide of a client, directly or indirectly, at some point in their career. Various support mechanisms need to be in place to address unique needs of staff. Few, if any, training programs prepare staff to anticipate and cope with client suicide. Hence, it is helpful to address this topic openly during clinical orientations and during individual supervision. Staff support also needs to include a list of resources individual staff can access during times of distress. Choices can include information on the CAMH employee assistance program and community therapy options. First and foremost, it is important to realize that increased social support and decreased isolation will act as protective factors.

## Supporting clients in the aftermath of suicide

Inpatient suicides occur at a rate of five to 30 times that of the general population. Those most at risk are typically younger clients (35 years of age and younger) with mood disorders and functional psychoses. Clients who are 65 years and older comprise four per cent of inpatient suicides. Suicides can occur in those who have been identified as being at risk; however, many take place without any warning and often occur in those who have been judged by their psychiatrists as clinically improved and/or stable (Bartels, 1987).

According to the literature, a client is most at risk for suicide during his or her first month of admission, with the risk decreasing as length of stay increases.

The suicide of an inpatient can be an extremely difficult and sometimes catastrophic event for the inpatient unit (Bartels, 1987). The administrators, staff members and the client's surviving family are inevitably affected by the event, but fellow inpatients will also experience a range of emotions and behavioural reactions. The other clients must therefore be deemed among the survivors and special steps should be taken to assist them in working through the traumatic event (Sakinofsky, 2007a). Similar reactions may occur among outpatient clients who knew the deceased, so risk assessment, containment and supportive interventions may be warranted in specific

cases. However, as with the inpatient setting, standard privacy and confidentiality guidelines must be adhered to.

## EMOTIONAL REACTIONS OF INPATIENT SURVIVORS

Fellow inpatients, similar to other survivors of the suicide, will undergo a range of emotions immediately after the suicide and in the weeks that follow (Bartels, 1987).

The initial response is one of shock, disbelief, confusion and disorientation, which can coincide with emotional flooding and panic.

Fear is another commonly expressed emotion following a suicide of a co-patient. Inpatients might identify with the victim and worry that they too may be unable to control their own impulsivity. They might also begin to perceive the unit as unsafe.

Anger and blame might be directed toward the staff and/or the person's clinician, as the clients may feel they should have been able to intervene and prevent the suicide. Anger toward the victim for taking his or her own life might also surface.

Those who are actively psychotic may experience primitive guilt since they may claim responsibility for the suicide or identify with an imagined aggressor; they may also have delusional beliefs that they have somehow caused the suicide (Bartels, 1987).

### Steps to help surviving clients

Sakinofsky (2007a) and Bartels (1987) outline the importance of an immediate focus on containment of self-destructive behaviours, followed by enhancement and support to assist with mourning and recovery. Right after a suicide of an inpatient, the following is recommended:

- defer new admissions until the environment has stabilized
- temporarily suspend off-unit passes to clients
- flag clients suspected of being a particular risk

- put appropriate interventions and precautions into place, such as increased observation for those who were close to the deceased
- assess physical structure and design of the unit and review environmental safeguards
- assess need for additional staff
- ensure that staff who are present cancel off-unit responsibilities
- delegate tasks to each staff member with checks to ensure they are carried out
- ensure that unit leaders provide clear information, direction and support to inpatients
- direct attention toward remaining clients and to the containment of any potentially dangerous behaviours and any copycat events.

According to Bartels (1987), a mandatory staff-client meeting should be called within a few hours of the event with the focus on containment. The goals of this meeting are to inform the clients of the incident in a controlled manner, to assess their reactions, to address their anxieties and safety concerns and to support the emotional recovery of those affected by the loss. Brief crisis-oriented group therapy can occur in this meeting to deal with the aforementioned range of emotional reactions of the surviving clients.

It is important for staff facilitating the meeting to:

- communicate a clear overview of the situation and a readiness to respond to any dangerous behaviours or crises that could ensue
- answer questions openly, directly and calmly without providing excessive details about the suicidal act that took place (RNAO, 2009)
- validate the emotional responses of the clients
- discuss the range of emotions that suicide evokes and discuss methods to cope with them
- attend closely to client behaviours to determine who might be at risk (i.e., a client who acts out or leaves the meeting abruptly)
- document observations made during the meeting and inform other team members (Bartels, 1987).

Clients who may be at risk and require closer monitoring and supervision are those who:

- have already been struggling with suicidal thoughts and feelings
- have a history of previous suicide attempts

- are depressed
- have formed close relationships with the victim or have shared similar psychiatric histories
- are actively psychotic, particularly with impaired reality testing (Bartels, 1987).

Following the immediate crisis and containment period clinicians should:

- continue to provide support and enhancement to assist clients in the mourning and recovery process
- initially implement an increase in frequency of check-ins and regular visits with clients
- emphasize the therapeutic alliance and continued adoption of a calm, supportive, client-centred, culturally competent and empathic approach
- provide ongoing awareness and reassessment of risk
- eventually return to the “basics of client care” with attention to the practical issues and needs of the clients (Bartels, 1987).

## Supporting families in the aftermath of suicide

Survivors of suicide are not only grieving the loss of a loved one, but are also dealing with several other factors pertaining to the loss of a loved one through suicide. Along with the normal grief reactions, they may be experiencing a sense of shame, embarrassment and isolation. Staff working with families who are grieving a family member who has completed suicide need to be mindful of what the family may be experiencing, what their current needs may be and how they can best be supported.

### NORMAL AND COMPLICATED GRIEF REACTIONS

A normal grief reaction may include both psychological and somatic symptoms. The psychological symptoms include:

- shock
- denial
- anger

- disbelief
- intense sadness and loss
- emptiness
- fear
- anxiety
- confusion
- panic.

People may experience physical reactions such as:

- tightness in their chest
- nausea
- fatigue
- sleep disturbances
- headaches
- numbness
- changes in appetite.

In addition to a normal grief reaction, those grieving the loss of a loved one by suicide may experience intense feelings of:

- abandonment
- bewilderment
- guilt
- shame
- being overwhelmed
- embarrassment
- humiliation
- failure.

Losing someone to suicide may also be complicated by a person's spiritual or cultural beliefs and the stigma attached to suicide. These can lead to denial or a wish to hide the event, causing families to become isolated with their grief, and withdrawn from others or their usual support systems. Staff may be able to provide support to these families that otherwise may be unavailable. If staff recognizes a family withdrawing into themselves in the aftermath of suicide, the family may need support in reconnecting with family and friends (Sakinofsky, 2007a).

## A CLINICIAN'S ROLE IN SUPPORTING THE FAMILY

Whether the clinician is dealing with an inpatient or outpatient suicide, Sakinofsky (2007a) suggests contacting the family as early as possible to arrange a meeting and offer support. A family meeting may be helpful in clarifying the current needs of the family that has lost a member due to suicide. When conducting the family meeting, being aware of one's role and communicating that role to the family is essential because it provides structure to the session. The clinician's role is to support the family with their grief. The family is now becoming the client and needs a space to vent thoughts and feelings. It may be helpful for the clinician to consult with management before holding a family meeting because the clinician is not responsible for risk-management decisions. Administration may consult with the Quality and Safety Office if there are any concerns. The CAMH policy "Death of a Client/Patient" is also a helpful resource when working with a family.

## CONFIDENTIALITY AFTER A SUICIDE

Confidentiality must continue to be maintained even after the person's death. Information the client would not want shared with their family can not be divulged. Families can be supported in telling their story and can provide staff with information, even if confidentiality laws prevent staff from providing information to the family.

## CONDUCTING THE FAMILY MEETING

During the family meeting, staff can provide support to families in the aftermath of suicide by listening and not judging their reactions. Staff should make every effort to respect cultural and spiritual customs. Families must be encouraged to grieve in their own way.

Allowing the family to grieve and normalizing their grief reactions may help the family process their loss. Staff can help families understand that it is the death, not the form it takes, that must be grieved.



Support regarding religious services and funeral arrangements can be offered to the family. Staff may offer psychoeducation around grief reactions and provide families with tools for coping with their intense emotions (i.e., grounding exercises). Families should be offered longer-term supports to assist them in resolving their grief. For example, grief support groups or grief websites specific to suicide survivors may help families feel less alone in their grief.

## Dealing with anger

Family meetings may be particularly challenging depending on the families reaction to the suicide. In a study by Van Dongen (1991), 89 per cent of participants reported feeling anger, usually directed toward the deceased, the mental health system, God, or the world in general. Anger is part of the grieving process, “as survivors search for a reason why the suicide has occurred, believing that someone or something must be to blame” (p. 376). The family may express anger directed at themselves, the deceased or the institution in which the client was being treated. In this situation, it is important to ensure the family has a space to vent their anger and that they feel heard and understood. Allowing them to express their anger in a supportive manner does not require staff to accept responsibility for the person’s death. Listening and allowing them to talk can often defuse some of the anger.

## ATTENDING FUNERAL AND MEMORIAL SERVICES

Staff may have questions around the appropriateness of attending funeral or memorial services for the deceased. Sakinofsky (2007a) suggested that staff members who have worked with the deceased should consider attending the memorial service, so long as the family has deemed this to be acceptable. Obtaining the families permission to attend is pivotal in the decision-making process.



# Suicide Resources

For a comprehensive list of Toronto area mental health services, download *Making Choices*, a guide to mental health services, supports and resources in the City of Toronto. The guide has been produced by Community Resource Connections of Toronto and can be found at:

[www.crct.org/choices](http://www.crct.org/choices)

## **Bereaved Families of Ontario – Toronto**

*Dedicated to bereavement support through self-help and mutual aid.*

[www.bfotoronto.ca](http://www.bfotoronto.ca)

Tel.: 416 440-0290

E-mail: [info@bfontario.ca](mailto:info@bfontario.ca)

## **Canadian Mental Health Association – Toronto**

*Offers suicide prevention workshops for professionals and caregivers.*

[www.toronto.cmha.ca/ct\\_workshops/asist.asp](http://www.toronto.cmha.ca/ct_workshops/asist.asp)

700 Lawrence Ave. West, Ste. 480, Toronto, ON M6A 3B4

Tel.: 416 789-7957

E-mail: [info@cmha-toronto.net](mailto:info@cmha-toronto.net)

Markham Road site:

1200 Markham Rd., Ste. 500, Scarborough, ON M1H 3C3

Tel.: 416 289-6285

E-mail: [info@cmha-toronto.net](mailto:info@cmha-toronto.net)

## **Distress Centres**

*24-hour distress lines offer emotional support, crisis intervention and linkage to emergency help. Offered in 151 languages. TTY service for the hearing impaired.*

[www.torontodistresscentre.com](http://www.torontodistresscentre.com)

E-mail: [info@TorontoDistressCentre.com](mailto:info@TorontoDistressCentre.com)

### **Family Association for Mental Health Everywhere**

*Offers support to families around mental illness by providing education, resources and coping strategies.*

www.fameforfamilies.com

Main office:

268 Royal York Rd., 2nd flr., Etobicoke, ON M8V 2V9

Tel.: 416 207-5032

E-mail: info@fameforfamilies.com

*Visit the website for addresses and phone numbers of branch offices.*

### **Gerstein Crisis Centre**

*Non-medical crisis intervention for individuals experiencing a mental health crisis who do not need or want hospitalization. 24-hour phone line, mobile team and 10-bed house for a short stay.*

<http://gersteincentre.org>

Charles Street site:

100 Charles St. East, Toronto, ON M4Y 1V3

Tel.: 416 929-5200

E-mail: admin@gersteincentre.org

Bloor Street site:

1045 Bloor St. West, Toronto, ON M6H 1M1

Tel.: 416 604-2337

E-mail: gersteinonbloor@gersteincentre.org

### **Kids Help Phone**

*Provides immediate help to young people 24 hours a day, 365 days a year.*

www.kidshelpphone.ca

Tel.: 1 800 668-6868

E-mail: info@kidshelpphone.ca

**Mood Disorder Association of Ontario**

*Serves Ontario communities by providing awareness, education and training, family and youth clinical support, recovery programs and peer support.*

www.mooddisorders.ca

Main support line: 416 486-8046

Toll free: 1 888 486-8236

**Ontario Association for Suicide Prevention**

*Supports the development of suicide prevention, intervention and postvention activities.*

<http://ospn.ca>

Tel.: 647 525-6277

E-mail: [info@ospn.ca](mailto:info@ospn.ca)



# Bibliography

Accreditation Canada (2015). *Required Organizational Practices Handbook* 2015. Retrieved from [www.accreditation.ca](http://www.accreditation.ca)

Alexopoulos, G.S., Reynolds, C.F., Bruce, M.L., Katz, I.R., Raue, P.J., Mulsant, B.H. et al. (2009). Reducing suicidal ideation and depression in older primary care patients: 24-month outcomes of the PROSPECT study. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 166, 882–890. DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2009.08121779

American Association of Suicidology. (2010). Stats & tools: Warning signs. Retrieved from [www.suicidology.org](http://www.suicidology.org)

American Psychiatric Association. (2003). *Practice Guideline for the Assessment and Treatment of Patients with Suicidal Behaviors*. Arlington, VA: Author. Retrieved from [http://psychiatryonline.org/pb/assets/raw/sitewide/practice\\_guidelines/guidelines/suicide.pdf](http://psychiatryonline.org/pb/assets/raw/sitewide/practice_guidelines/guidelines/suicide.pdf)

American Psychiatric Association. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (5th ed.). Arlington, VA: Author.

Bartels, S.J. (1987). The aftermath of suicide on the psychiatric inpatient unit. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 9, 189–197.

Bethell, J. & Rhodes, A.E. (2007). Depressed mood in the suicidal population. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 52, 744–748.

Bostwick, J.M. & Pankratz, V.S. (2000). Affective disorders and suicide risk: A re-examination. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 157, 1925–1932.

Bowers, L., Banda, T. & Nijman, H. (2010). Suicide inside: A systematic review of inpatient suicides. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 198, 315–328.

Bowers, L., Jarrett, M. & Clark, N. (1998a). Absconding: A literature review. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 5, 343–353.

- Bowers, L., Jarrett, M., Clark, N., Kiyimba, F. & McFarlane, L. (1998b). *Runaway Patients: Report to the GNC Trust*. Retrieved from [www.kcl.ac.uk/ioppn/depts/hspr/research/ciemh/mhn/projects/runaway.pdf](http://www.kcl.ac.uk/ioppn/depts/hspr/research/ciemh/mhn/projects/runaway.pdf)
- Bowers, L., Jarrett, M., Clark, N., Kiyimba, F. & McFarlane, L. (2000). Determinants of absconding by patients on acute psychiatric wards. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 32, 644–649.
- Burgess, P., Pirkis, P., Morton, J. & Croke, E. (2000). Lessons from a comprehensive clinical audit of users of psychiatric services who committed suicide. *Psychiatric Services*, 51, 1555–1560.
- Canadian Coalition for Seniors' Mental Health. (2009). *Suicide Prevention among Older Adults: A Guide for Family Members*. Retrieved from [www.ccsmh.ca/pdf/ccsmh\\_suicidebooklet.pdf](http://www.ccsmh.ca/pdf/ccsmh_suicidebooklet.pdf)
- Canadian Patient Safety Institute. (2010). *The Patient Safety Education Program – Canada Curriculum*. Retrieved from [www.patientsafetyinstitute.ca/english/education/patientsafetyeducationproject/patientsafetyeducation-curriculum/Pages/default.aspx](http://www.patientsafetyinstitute.ca/english/education/patientsafetyeducationproject/patientsafetyeducation-curriculum/Pages/default.aspx)
- Cardell, R., Bratcher, K.S. & Quinnett, P. (2009). Revisiting “suicide proofing” an inpatient unit through environmental safeguards: A review. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 45 (1), 36–44.
- Cavanagh, J.T.O., Carson, A.J., Sharpe, M. & Lawrie, S.M. (2003). Psychological autopsy studies of suicide: A systematic review. *Psychological Medicine*, 33, 395–405.
- Cem Atbasoglu, E., Schultz, S.K. & Andreasen, N.C. (2001). The relationship of akathisia with suicidality and depersonalization among patients with schizophrenia. *Journal of Neuropsychiatry and Clinical Neurosciences*, 13, 336–341.
- Centre for Addiction and Mental Health (CAMH). (2011). *A Guide to Wellness and Comfort Activities*. Toronto: Author.



Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health and Addiction (CARMHA). (2007). *Working with the Client Who Is Suicidal: A Tool for Adult Mental Health and Addiction Services*. Retrieved from [www.sfu.ca/content/dam/sfu/carmha/resources/wwcis/WWCWIS.pdf](http://www.sfu.ca/content/dam/sfu/carmha/resources/wwcis/WWCWIS.pdf)

Centre for Suicide Prevention. (2003). *Suicide among Canada's Aboriginal Peoples*. (SIEC Alert #52). Retrieved from <http://suicideinfo.ca>

Centre for Suicide Prevention. (2014). *Plus 65: Senior's Suicide Prevention Resource Toolkit*. Retrieved from <http://suicideinfo.ca>

Chandler, M.J. & Lalonde, C.E. (1998). Cultural continuity as a hedge against suicide in Canada's First Nations. *Transcultural Psychiatry*, 35 (2), 191–219.

Chenier, N.M. (1995). *Suicide among Aboriginal Peoples: Royal Commission Report*. Ottawa: Library of Parliament. Retrieved from [www.parl.gc.ca](http://www.parl.gc.ca)

Clinical Resource and Audit Group (CRAG). (2002). *Engaging People: Observation of People with Acute Mental Problems—A Good Practice Statement*. Retrieved from [www.gov.scot/Resource/Doc/46951/0013967.pdf](http://www.gov.scot/Resource/Doc/46951/0013967.pdf)

College of Nurses of Ontario. (2009). *Practice Guideline: Culturally Sensitive Care*. Toronto: Author. Retrieved from [www.cno.org/Global/docs/prac/41040\\_CulturallySens.pdf](http://www.cno.org/Global/docs/prac/41040_CulturallySens.pdf)

Cooper, S.J., Kelly, C.B. & King, D.J. (1992). 5-Hydroxyindoleacetic acid in cerebrospinal fluid and prediction of suicidal behaviour in schizophrenia. *The Lancet*, 340, 940–941.

Cottler, L.B., Campbell, W., Krishna, V.A.S., Cunningham-Williams, R.M. & Abdallah, A.B. (2005). Predictors of high rates of suicidal ideation among drug users. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 193, 431–499.

Cotton, P.G., Drake, R.E. & Gates, C. (1985). Critical treatment issues in suicide among schizophrenics. *Hospital & Community Psychiatry*, 36, 534–536.

De Hert, M., McKenzie, K. & Peuskens, J. (2001). Risk factors for suicide in young people suffering from schizophrenia: A long-term follow-up study.

*Schizophrenia Research*, 47, 127–134.

Dickens, G.L. & Campbell, J. (2001). Absconding of patients from an independent UK psychiatric hospital: A 3-year retrospective analysis of events and characteristics of absconders. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 8, 543–550.

Drake, E.R. (2006). Suicide attempts and completed suicides among schizophrenia patients. In R. Tatarelli, M. Pompili & P. Girardi, (Eds.), *Suicide in Schizophrenia*. New York: Nova Science.

Drake, E.R., Bartles, S. & Torrey, W. (1989). Suicide in schizophrenia: Clinical approaches. In R. Williams & J.T. Dalby (Eds.), *Depression in Schizophrenics* (pp. 171–183). New York: Plenum.

Drake, E.R., Gates, C., Cotton, P.G. & Whitaker, A. (1984). Suicide among schizophrenics: Who is at risk? *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 172, 613–617.

Farberow, N. (1981). Suicide prevention in the hospital. *Hospital and Community Psychiatry*, 32, 99–104.

Fenton, W.S. (2000). Depression, suicide and suicide prevention in schizophrenia. *Suicide Life Treatment and Behaviour*, 30, 34–49.

Fenton, W.S., McGlashan, T.H., Victor, B.J. & Blyer, C.R. (1997). Symptoms, subtype, and suicidality in patients with schizophrenia spectrum disorders. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 199–204.

Flensburg-Madsen, T., Knop, J., Mortensen, E.L., Becker, U., Sher, L. & Grønbaek, M. (2009). Alcohol use disorders increase the risk of completed suicide—irrespective of other psychiatric disorders: A longitudinal cohort study. *Psychiatry Research*, 167, 123–130.

Fowler, R.C., Rich, C.L. & Young, D. (1986). San Diego suicide study. II. Substance abuse in young cases. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 43, 962–965.

- Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender Health Access Project. (2006). *Community Standards of Practice for the Provision of Quality Health Care Services to Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Clients*. Boston, MA: Justice Resource Institute.
- Goodwin, F.K. & Jamison, K.R. (1990). *Manic-Depressive Illness*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Goodwin, R., Lyons, J.S. & McNally, R.J. (2002). Panic attacks in schizophrenia. *Schizophrenia Research*, 58, 213–220.
- Gordon, H. (2002). Suicide in secure psychiatric facilities. *Advances in Psychiatric Treatment*, 8, 408–417.
- Gunnell, D. & Frankel, S. (1994). Prevention of suicide: Aspirations and evidence. *British Medical Journal*, 308, 1227–1233.
- Gupta, S., Black, D.W., Arndt, S., Hubbard, W.C. & Andreasen, N.C. (1998). Factors associated with suicide attempts among patients with schizophrenia. *Psychiatric Services*, 49, 1353–1355.
- Guze, S.B. & Robins, E. (1970). Suicide and primary affective disorders. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 117, 437–438.
- Harris, E.C. & Barraclough, B. (1997). Suicide as an outcome for mental disorders: A meta-analysis. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 170, 205–228.
- Health Canada. (2002). Lifting the Silence on Suicide: Together We Can Make a Difference—An Aboriginal Conference on Suicide Prevention in Alberta. February 6–7, Edmonton, AB.
- Health Canada. (2003a). *Acting on What We Know: Preventing Youth Suicide in First Nations*. Ottawa: Advisory Group on Suicide Prevention. Retrieved from [www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fniah-spnia/alt\\_formats/fnihb-dgspni/pdf/pubs/suicide/prev\\_youth-jeunes-eng.pdf](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fniah-spnia/alt_formats/fnihb-dgspni/pdf/pubs/suicide/prev_youth-jeunes-eng.pdf)

Health Canada. (2003b). *A Statistical Profile on the Health of First Nations in Canada*. Retrieved from [www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fniah-spniat/intro-eng.php](http://www.hc-sc.gc.ca/fniah-spniat/intro-eng.php)

Heilia, H., Isometsa, E.T., Henriksson, M.M., Heikkinen, M.E., Marttunen, M.J. & Lonnqvist, J.K. (1997). Suicide and schizophrenia: A nationwide psychological autopsy study on age-and-sex-specific clinical characteristics of 92 suicide victims with schizophrenia. *American Journal of Psychiatry*, 154, 1235–1242.

Horrocks, J., House, A. & Owens, D. (2004). Establishing a clinical database for hospital attendances because of self-harm. *Psychiatric Bulletin*, 28, 137–139.

Hu, W.H., Sun, C.M., Lee, C.T., Peng, S.L., Lin, S.K. & Shen, W.W. (1991). A clinical study of schizophrenic suicides: 42 cases in Taiwan. *Schizophrenia Research*, 5, 43–50.

Hunt, I.M., Windfuhr, K., Swinson, N., Shaw, J., Appleby, L., & Kapur, N. (2010). Suicide amongst psychiatric in-patients who abscond from the ward: A national clinical survey. *BMC Psychiatry*, 10, 14–19.

Jacobs, D. (2003). Suicide Assessment. University of Michigan Depression Centre Colloquium Series. [PowerPoint presentation]. Retrieved from [www.depressioncenter.org/colloquium/2003](http://www.depressioncenter.org/colloquium/2003)

Jacobs, D. (2007). *A Resource Guide for Implementing the Joint Commission 2007 Patient Safety Goals on Suicide*. Wellesley Hills, MA: Screening for Mental Health, Inc. Retrieved from [www.sprc.org/sites/sprc.org/files/library/jcsafetygoals.pdf](http://www.sprc.org/sites/sprc.org/files/library/jcsafetygoals.pdf)

Jacobs, D. & Brewer, M.L. (2006). Application of the APA practice guidelines on suicide to clinical practice. *CNS Spectrums*, 11, 447–454.

Jones, J.S., Stein, D.J., Stanley, B., Guido, J.R., Winchel, R. & Stanley, M. (1994). Negative and depressive symptoms in suicidal schizophrenics. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 89, 81–87.

Keks, N.A., Hill, C., Sundram, S., Graham, A., Bellingham, K., Dean, B., . . . Copolov, D.L. (2009). Evaluation of treatment in 35 cases of bipolar suicide. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, 43, 503–508.

Kirmayer, L.J. (1994). Suicide among Canadian Aboriginal peoples. *Trans-cultural Psychiatric Research Review*, 31, 3–58.

Khisty, N., Raval, N., Dhadphale, M, Kale, K. & Javadekar, A. (2008). A prospective study of patients absconding from a general hospital psychiatry unit in a developing country. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 15, 458–464.

Knesper, D.J. (2003). *Suicide: Documentation and Risk Management Guidelines*. University of Michigan. Retrieved from [http://calm4kids-resources.weebly.com/uploads/4/7/7/1/47718999/suicide\\_documentation.pdf](http://calm4kids-resources.weebly.com/uploads/4/7/7/1/47718999/suicide_documentation.pdf)

Krupinski, M., Fisher, A., Grohmann, R., Engel, R.R., Hollweg, M. & Moller, H.J. (2000). Schizophrenia psychoses and suicide in the clinic. *Nervenarzt*, 71, 906–911.

Landmark, J., Cernovsky, Z.Z. & Merskey, H. (1987). Correlates of suicide attempts and ideation in schizophrenia. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 151, 18–20. DOI: 10.1192/bjp.151.1.18

Langlois, S. & Morrison, P. (2002). Suicide deaths and suicide attempts. *Health Reports*, 13(2). (Statistics Canada catalogue no. 82-003). Retrieved from [www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-003-x/2001002/article/6060-eng.pdf](http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-003-x/2001002/article/6060-eng.pdf)

Living Works. (n.d.). suicideCare: Aiding life alliances. [Workshop]. [www.livingworks.net](http://www.livingworks.net)

Living Works. (n.d.). Applied Suicide Interventions Skills Training (ASIST). [Workshop]. [www.livingworks.net](http://www.livingworks.net)

Lizardi, D. & Gearing, R. (2010). *Suicide Assessment and Treatment: Empirical and Evidence-Based Practices*. New York: Springer.

Mann, R.E., Flam-Zalcman, R., Rush, B.R., Smart, R.G. & Rhodes, A.E. (2008). Alcohol factors in suicide mortality rates in Manitoba. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 53, 243–251.

March, J., Silva, S., Petrycki, S., Curry, J., Wells, K., Fairbank, J., . . . Treatment for Adolescents with Depression Study (TADS) Team (2004). Fluoxetine, cognitive-behavioral therapy and their combination for adolescents with depression. *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 292, 807–820.

Matakas, R. & Rohrbach, E. (2007). Suicide prevention in the psychiatric hospital. *Suicide and Life Threatening Behaviour*, 37, 507–517.

Meerwijk, E.L., van Meijel, B., van den Bout, J., Kerkhof, A., de Vogel, W. & Grypdonck, M. (2010). Development and evaluation of a guideline for nursing care of suicidal patients with schizophrenia. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care*, 46, 65–73.

*Mental Health Act*, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter M.7. Retrieved from [www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws\\_statutes\\_90m07\\_e.htm](http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws_statutes_90m07_e.htm)

Mental Health Commission of Canada. (n.d.). Topics: Seniors. Retrieved from [www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/English/issues/seniors](http://www.mentalhealthcommission.ca/English/issues/seniors)

Miret, M., Nuevo, R. & Ayuso-Mateos, J.L. (2009). Documentation of suicide risk assessment in clinical records. *Psychiatric Services*, 6, 994.

Muir-Cochrane, E. & Mosel, K.A. (2008). Absconding: A review of the literature 1996–2008. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 17, 370–378.

Mulsant, B.H., Alexopoulos, G.S., Reynolds, III, C.F., Katz, I.R., Abrams, R., Oslin, D.A., . . . PROSPECT Study Group. (2001). Pharmacological treatment of depression in older primary care patients: The prospect algorithm. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, 16, 585–592.

National Aboriginal Health Organization. (2006). Fact sheet: Cultural safety. Retrieved from [www.naho.ca/english/documents/Culturalsafetyfactsheet.pdf](http://www.naho.ca/english/documents/Culturalsafetyfactsheet.pdf)

Navaneelan, T. (2012). Suicide rates: An overview. *Health at a Glance*. (Statistics Canada Catalogue no. 82-624-X). Retrieved from [www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-624-x/2012001/article/11696-eng.htm](http://www.statcan.gc.ca/pub/82-624-x/2012001/article/11696-eng.htm)

Nyman, A.K. & Jansson, H. (1986). Patterns of self destructive behaviour in schizophrenia. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 73, 252–262.

Office of the Surgeon General (U.S.). (2001). *National Strategy for Suicide Prevention: Goals and Objectives for Action*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

Palmer, B.A., Prankratz, S. & Bostwick, J.B. (2005). The lifetime risk of suicide in schizophrenia: A reexamination. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 62, 247–253.

Patterson, J., Butterill, D., Tindall, C., Clodman, D. & Collins, A. (1999). *Schizophrenia: An Information Guide*. Toronto: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health. Retrieved from [www.camh.ca/en/hospital/health\\_information/a\\_z\\_mental\\_health\\_and\\_addiction\\_information\\_guide.aspx](http://www.camh.ca/en/hospital/health_information/a_z_mental_health_and_addiction_information_guide.aspx)

Pauley, B. (2008). Shifting moral values to enhance access to health care: Harm reduction as an ethical context for nursing practice. *International Journal of Drug Policy*, 19, 195–204.

Perlman, C.M., Neufeld, E., Martin, L., Goy, M. & Hirdes, J.P. (2011). *Suicide Risk Assessment Inventory: A Resource Guide for Canadian Health Care Organizations*, Toronto: Ontario Hospital Association & Canadian Patient Safety Institute.

*Personal Health Information Protection Act*, 2004, S.O. 2004, Chapter 3 Schedule A. Retrieved from [www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws\\_statutes\\_04p03\\_e.htm](http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws_statutes_04p03_e.htm)

Pickar, D., Roy, A., Breier, A., Doran, A., Wolkpewitz, O., Collison, J. & Argen, H. (1986). Suicide and aggression in schizophrenia: Neurobiologic correlates. *Annals of New York Academy of Sciences*, 487, 189–196.

Pompili, M., Amador, X.F., Girardi, P., Harkavy-Friedman, J., Harrow, M., Kaplan, K., . . . Tatarelli, R. (2007). Suicide risk in schizophrenia: Learning from the past to change the future. *Annals of General Psychiatry*, 6, 1–22.

Pompili, M., Mancinelli, I., Ruberto, A., Kotzalidis, G., Girardi, P. & Tatarelli, R. (2005). Where schizophrenia patients commit suicide: Review of suicide among inpatients and former inpatients. *International Journal of Psychiatry Medicine*, 35, 171–190. DOI: 10.2190/9CA1-EL73-1VXD-9F2

Pompili, M., Ruberto, A., Girardi, P. & Tatarelli, R. (2004). Suicide in schizophrenia: What are we going to do about it? *Annali dell'Istituto superiore di sanità*, 40, 463–473.

Ptasznik, A. (2009). Meeting the challenge: Integrated treatment tackles co-occurring schizophrenia and substance use. *CrossCurrents: The Journal of Addiction and Mental Health*, 12 (4), 14–15.

Public Health Agency of Canada. (2005). *Leading Causes of Death and Hospitalization in Canada*. Retrieved from [www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/lcd-pcd97/table1-eng.php](http://www.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/lcd-pcd97/table1-eng.php)

*Public Hospitals Act, 1990*, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.40. Retrieved from [www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws\\_statutes\\_90p40\\_e.htm](http://www.e-laws.gov.on.ca/html/statutes/english/elaws_statutes_90p40_e.htm)

Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (RNAO). (2009). *Assessment and Care of Adults with Suicidal Ideation and Behaviour*. Retrieved from <http://rnao.ca/bpg/guidelines/assessment-and-care-adults-risk-suicidal-ideation-and-behaviour>

Rehm, J., Baliunas, D., Brochu, S., Fischer, B., Gnam, W., Patra, J., . . . Taylor, B. (2006). *The Costs of Substance Abuse in Canada 2002*. Retrieved from [www.ccsa.ca/ResourceLibrary/ccsa-011332-2006.pdf](http://www.ccsa.ca/ResourceLibrary/ccsa-011332-2006.pdf)

Rich, C.L., Fowler, R.C., Fogarty, L.A. & Young, D. (1988). San Diego suicide study. III. Relationship between diagnosis and stressors. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 45, 589–592.



Rich, C.L., Motooka, M.S., Fowler, R.C. & Young, D. (1988). Suicide by psychotics. *Biological Psychiatry*, 24, 595–601.

Risk Management Foundation of the Harvard Medical Institutions (RMFMI). (1996). *Guidelines for Identification, Assessment, and Treatment Planning for Suicidality*. Retrieved from [www.rmfi.harvard.edu/files/documents/suicideAs.pdf](http://www.rmfi.harvard.edu/files/documents/suicideAs.pdf)

Roy, A. (2010). Risk factors for attempting suicide in heroin addicts. *Suicide and Life-Threatening Behavior*, 40, 416–420. DOI: 10.1521/suli.2010.40.4.416

Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples. (1995). *Choosing Life: Special Report on Suicide among Aboriginal People*. Ottawa: Author.

Ruskin, R., Sakinofsky, I., Bagby, R.M., Dickens, S. & Sousa, G. (2004). Impact of patient suicide on psychiatrists and psychiatric trainees. *Academic Psychiatry*, 28, 104–110.

Saarinen, P.I., Lehtonen, J. & Lonnqvist, J. (1999). Suicide risk in schizophrenia: An analysis of 17 consecutive suicides. *Schizophrenia Bulletin*, 25, 533–542.

Sakinofsky, I. (2005). Suicide and suicidality. In D.S. Goldbloom (Ed.), *Psychiatric Clinical Skills* (pp. 167–185). Philadelphia: Elsevier Mosby.

Sakinofsky I. (2007a). The aftermath of suicide: Managing survivors' bereavement. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 52 (Suppl. 1), 129S–136S.

Sakinofsky, I. (2007b). Treating suicidality in depressive illness. Part I: Current controversies. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 52 (Suppl. 1), 71S–84S.

Sakinofsky, I. (2010a). *How to Assess and Manage Suicidal Patients in Hospital Practice*. Presentation to the CAMH Suicide Risk Assessment Initiative Work Group, Toronto: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health.

Sakinofsky, I. (2010b). Evidence-based approaches for reducing suicide risk in major affective disorders. In M. Pompili & R. Tatarelli (Eds.), *Evidence-*

*Based Practice in Suicidology: A Source Book* (pp. 275–314). Cambridge, MA: Hogrefe.

Sakinofsky, I. & Webster, G. (2010) The epidemiology of suicide in Canada. In J. Cairney & D.L. Streiner (Eds.), *Mental Disorder in Canada: An Epidemiological Perspective* (pp. 357–392). Toronto: University of Toronto Press.

Samra, J. & Bilsker, D. (2007). *Coping with Suicidal Thoughts*. Vancouver: Centre for Applied Research in Mental Health and Addiction. Retrieved from [www.sfu.ca/carmha/publications/by-topic/suicide.html](http://www.sfu.ca/carmha/publications/by-topic/suicide.html)

Schizophrenia Society of Canada. (2006). *Concurrent Disorders and Schizophrenia: A National Awareness Strategy*. Winnipeg, MB: Author. Retrieved from [www.schizophrenia.ca/docs/CD\\_Discussion\\_Paper.pdf](http://www.schizophrenia.ca/docs/CD_Discussion_Paper.pdf)

Shuwall, M. & Siris, S.G. (1994). Suicidal ideation in postpsychotic depression. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 35, 132–134. DOI:10.1016/0010-440X(94)90058-P

Simon, R.I. (2004). *Assessing and Managing Suicide Risk: Guidelines for Clinically Based Risk Management*. Arlington, VA: American Psychiatric Publishing.

Skinner, W.J.W., O'Grady, C., Bartha, C. & Parker, C. (2004). *Concurrent Substance Use and Mental Health Disorders: An Information Guide*. Toronto: Centre for Addiction and Mental Health. Retrieved from [www.camh.ca](http://www.camh.ca)

Statistics Canada. (2011). Leading causes of death, total population, by age group and sex, Canada. [Table 102-0561]. Retrieved from [www5.statcan.gc.ca/cansim/a26?lang=eng&id=1020561](http://www5.statcan.gc.ca/cansim/a26?lang=eng&id=1020561)

Statistics Canada. (2014a). Canada's population estimates: Age and sex, 2014. (Component of catalogue no. 11-001-X). Retrieved from [www.statcan.gc.ca/daily-quotidien/140926/dq140926b-eng.htm](http://www.statcan.gc.ca/daily-quotidien/140926/dq140926b-eng.htm)

Statistics Canada. (2014b). Suicides and suicide rate, by sex and by age group (Both sexes no.) [Table]. Retrieved from [www.statcan.gc.ca/tables-](http://www.statcan.gc.ca/tables-)

tableaux/sum-som/101/csto1/hlth66a-eng.htm

Steele, M. & Doey, T. (2007). Suicidal behaviour in children and adolescents. Part I: Etiology and risk factors. *Canadian Journal of Psychiatry*, 52 (Suppl. 1), 21S–33S.

Stone, M., Laughren, T., Jones, M.L., Levenson, M., Holland, P.C., Hughes, A., . . . Rochester, G. (2009). Risk of suicidality in clinical trials of antidepressants in adults: Analysis of proprietary data submitted to U.S. Food and Drug Administration. *British Medical Journal*, 339, b2880. DOI: 10.1136 BMJ.b2880

Suicide Prevention Resource Center. (2008). *Suicide Risk and Prevention for Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, and Transgender Youth*. Newton, MA: Education Development Center. Retrieved from [www.sprc.org/library/SPRC\\_LGBT\\_Youth.pdf](http://www.sprc.org/library/SPRC_LGBT_Youth.pdf)

Suicide Prevention Resource Center & Screening for Mental Health. (2009). *Safe-T: Suicide Assessment Five-Step Evaluation and Triage for Mental Health Professionals*. Retrieved from [www.stopasuicide.org/docs/Safe\\_T\\_Card\\_Mental\\_Health\\_Professionals.pdf](http://www.stopasuicide.org/docs/Safe_T_Card_Mental_Health_Professionals.pdf)

Sullivan, A.M., Barron, C.T., Bezmen, J., Rivera, J. & Zapata-Vega, M. (2005). The safe treatment of the suicidal patient in an adult inpatient setting: A proactive preventive approach. *Psychiatric Quarterly*, 76, 67–83.

Thase, M.E. (2008). Do antidepressants really work? A clinician's guide to evaluating the evidence. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, 10, 487–494.

The Trevor Project. (2011). Facts about suicide. [www.thetrevorproject.org/pages/facts-about-suicide](http://www.thetrevorproject.org/pages/facts-about-suicide)

Van Dongen, C. (1991). Experience of family members after a suicide. *Journal of Family Practice*, 33, 375–380.

Westermeyer, J.F., Harrow, M. & Marengo, J. (1991). Risk for suicide in schizophrenia and other psychotic and non-psychotic disorders. *Journal of Nervous and Mental Disease*, 5, 259–265.

White, J. & Jodoin, N. (2003). *Aboriginal Youth: A Manual of Promising Suicide Prevention Strategies*. Calgary, AB: Centre for Suicide Prevention. Retrieved from <http://suicideinfo.ca>

World Health Organization. (2014). *Preventing Suicide: A Global Imperative*. Retrieved from [www.who.int/mental\\_health/suicide-prevention/world\\_report\\_2014/en/](http://www.who.int/mental_health/suicide-prevention/world_report_2014/en/)

Zisook, S., Byrd, D., Kuck, J. & Jeste, D.V. (1995). Command hallucinations in outpatients with schizophrenia. *Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, 56, 462–465.

# Appendix I: Suicide, Mental Illness and Substance Abuse

## Suicide among children and youth: Facts

Suicide rates in Canada rise dramatically between the childhood and teen years, from 1.8 per 100,000 in girls aged 10 to 14, to 5.4 per 100,000 in girls aged 15 to 19. In boys, the rate rises from 1.2 per 100,000 in the younger group to 12.5 per 100,000 in the 15–19 age group (Statistics Canada, 2011). These numbers are exclusive of suicide attempts and ideation.

According to Statistics Canada (2011), suicide is the second leading cause of death for the 15–19 age group, following unintentional injuries. Suicide was the third leading cause of death for the 10–14 age group in 2011, after unintentional deaths and cancer.

Steele and Doey (2007) outlined key risk factors for suicide in children and youth, including increasing age. In Canada, boys are more likely to die by suicide, though girls are more likely to attempt suicide. Aboriginal youth are more likely to die by suicide; some studies have found an increased risk for gay, lesbian and bisexual youth as well. As with adults, psychiatric disorders including mood (especially depression) and substance use disorders are risk factors for suicide. Previous suicide attempts also appear to increase the risk of completed suicide. Other risk factors for suicide include a family history of suicide, impaired parent-child relationships, life stressors such as interpersonal losses, legal or disciplinary crises, and gang involvement. Positive family relationships may have a protective effect.

According to Lizardi and Gearing (2010), approaches for child and adolescent suicide prevention need to include crisis management, psychosocial evidence-based practices and psychopharmacology. Crisis management must include thorough assessment and appropriate planning and disposition.

Evidence-based practices that have been found to be effective for children and youth at risk for suicide include cognitive-behavioural therapy, dialectical behaviour therapy, interpersonal therapy for adolescents, psychodynamic therapy and family therapy. Psychopharmacology may mitigate the risk of suicide when used in the treatment of appropriate disorders and symptoms.

Family, friends and other caregivers are important and usually indispensable in the assessment and treatment of children and youth.

## Suicide and mood disorders: Facts

The fifth edition of the *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* ([DSM-5], American Psychiatric Association, 2013) formally recognizes the strong association between the various mood disorders and suicide risk. The DSM-5 includes a suicide assessment dimension for the major diagnostic categories, such as bipolar disorder and depressive disorder. The mood disorders comprise a group of diagnostic entities linked together by the core symptom of a disturbance in mood.

Psychological autopsy studies show that 90 per cent of people who die by suicide meet criteria for a DSM diagnosis. The largest proportion of suicides (60 per cent) is among people with mood disorders (Cavanagh et al., 2003). In cases of suicide, dysphoria must be accompanied by at least some degree of hopelessness and the belief that alternative solutions are either untenable or not feasible.

It is inconceivable that no element of depressed mood (i.e., dysphoria, mental pain or “psychache”) would accompany suicidal thinking or acts—even among people who deliberately harm themselves without actually intending to cause death (Sakinofsky, 2010b). People who attempt suicide are 26 to 33 times more prevalent than people who complete suicide (Sakinofsky & Webster, 2010), and people who attempt suicide include a high proportion of people with depressed mood who do not meet the full criteria for major depressive disorder (i.e., are cases of “subthreshold” depression) (Bethell & Rhodes, 2007).

Early pooled analyses of observational follow-up studies of affective disorder estimated suicide risk to be 15 to 19 per cent, based on the proportion of all deaths in the cohort attributable to suicide (Goodwin & Jamison, 1990; Guze & Robins, 1970; Miles, 1977). Bostwick and Pankratz (2000) applied case fatality prevalences (the proportion of the original cohort ultimately succumbing to suicide) to these data and calculated lifetime risks of 8.6 per cent in cases of people with mood disorder hospitalized for suicide risk, compared with four per cent for those hospitalized without specification of suicidality. Another way of understanding the relative importance of mood disorders in suicide is to note that the standardized mortality rates for

major depression are more than 20 times that in the general public, bipolar disorder more than 15 times, dysthymia more than 12 times, and mood disorders NOS more than 16 (Harris & Barraclough, 1997).

We have come to understand the psychopathology of mood disorders as coming from both a state of altered brain neurochemistry and from dire human predicament, so that caregivers must clearly pay proper attention to both these aspects (Sakinofsky, 2005). Even with the significant proportions of mood-disordered patients at risk mentioned above, the low base rate of suicide in the population and in research samples makes it difficult to evaluate treatments intended to prevent suicide; there are ethical dilemmas and sample sizes must be huge (Gunnell & Frankel, 1994). Prospective studies of suicide prevention have begun only recently and so far much of our knowledge about effective suicide prevention in mood disorders is based on retrospective analysis of investigations where treatment of depressed mood was the original primary objective. The most robust evidence for a specific effect of pharmacological treatment on suicidality is with bipolar disorder (Sakinofsky, 2010b).

Clients with bipolar disorder spend considerably more time depressed than manic and the vast majority of suicides in people with bipolar disorder occur during depressive or mixed episodes. Hawton et al. (2005) noted that the predictors for completed suicide in people with bipolar disorder included being male, having a positive family history of suicide or mood disorder, having made a previous suicide attempt, and expressing hopelessness at the admission to hospital. There was no association with rapid cycling or the presence of a psychotic element. A past history of a suicide attempt is probably the strongest predictor, together with having recurrent or refractory depressions and a high percentage of days spent being depressed over the past year. An Australian study found that 60 per cent of bipolar disorder suicide cases in its sample had not received treatment at or above the benchmark standard because of less than optimal biological or social treatment or the patient's failure to adhere to treatment (Keks et al., 2009).

The best evidence for pharmacological prevention of suicide in people with bipolar disorders is lithium (in patients who persist with it for at least two years) but lithium prescriptions have been overtaken by those



for anticonvulsants and antipsychotics, possibly because of fear of the potential side-effects of lithium and also because of differential marketing. Although manic episodes are more attention-grabbing, clinicians need to remain focused on bipolar depression and mixed states since they carry the highest risk of suicide. Clients are often admitted while manic and discharged while in the depressed phase, when suicidal ideation is less obvious than it is during manic overactivity. Careful monitoring and energetic psychosocial support should be maintained after patients are discharged from hospital.

Depressive disorders are found in 45 to 70 per cent of suicides and the highest risk of suicide is during the first episode. Comorbid problem substance use and personality disorders increase the suicide risk in depressive illness. For five decades, antidepressants have been the cornerstone of the management of depressive illness, but in the last decade important questions have been raised about their safety and efficacy (Sakinofsky, 2007; Thase, 2008). The effect size of antidepressants (i.e., advantage of the active drug over placebo), is greater when the clients are more severely ill, suggesting that psychosocial support for both clients on the placebo and the experimental drugs is a large factor in reducing the gap between them in less severe cases of depression. Illness severity that persists in spite of psychosocial support indicates the need for more emphasis on biological treatment.

There has been much debate in recent years about antidepressants giving rise to emergent suicidality (non-fatal suicidal behaviour), particularly in young people. The U.S. Federal Drug Administration sponsored a meta-analysis of 372 trials comprising more than 99,000 people. The study showed that the risk for emergent suicidality associated with antidepressants is age-related and decreases in older age groups. In the study, the odds of emergent suicidality doubled in those under 25 years old, changing to less than one in those aged 25 to 64 years (possibly protective), while antidepressants were clearly protective in those more than 65 years of age (Stone et al., 2009).

The Treatment for Adolescents with Depression Study showed better results for treating people with suicidal ideation with a combination of a selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor with cognitive-behavioural therapy rather than

from either alone (March et al., 2004). Current consensus is that antidepressants should not be used in young people as a first-line treatment but only if psychosocial methods are ineffective or severity does not permit a trial of psychosocial treatment only.

The PROSPECT study (Prevention of Suicide in Primary Care Elderly—Collaborative Trial) showed that suicidal ideation declined faster where primary physicians were able to collaborate with trained geriatric “health specialists” who also applied a treatment algorithm for antidepressant use and psychotherapy (Alexopoulos et al., 2009; Mulsant, 2001).

## Aboriginal suicide: Facts

Suicide was rare among Aboriginal people before the arrival of Europeans (Canadian Mental Health Association, 2003). White and Jodoin (2003) noted that Aboriginal suicide has increased within the last few decades. Although suicide rates vary enormously across Aboriginal communities, the overall suicide rate among these communities is higher than in the total Canadian population. According to the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples (1995), suicide rates among registered Aboriginal people were three times higher than in the general Canadian population. In more recent studies, suicide has been reported as the leading cause of death among youth and adults up to the age of 44 (Health Canada, 2003a,b). These reports noted that Aboriginal youth between ages 15 and 30 were five to six times more likely to die by suicide than non-Aboriginal youth. Kirmayer (1994) suggested that Aboriginal people take their lives more than any identified culture group in the world. However, while suicide rates among Aboriginal people are higher than in the non-Aboriginal population, evidence suggests that some Aboriginal communities have no suicide, and that these communities have developed community-level protective factors, such as managing self-government, land claims, education and policing, and developing culturally relevant practices (Chandler and Lalonde, 1998).

Not all communities have the resources to develop and sustain healthy communities. Chenier (1995) suggested that Aboriginal suicide may be the result of poverty, low levels of education, high rates of unemployment and poor housing conditions, which may contribute to feelings of helplessness and hopelessness. Chenier added: “Loss of land and control over living conditions, suppression of belief systems and spirituality, weakening of social and political institutions, and racial discrimination have seriously damaged their confidence and thus predisposed them to suicide, self-injury and other self-destructive behaviours” (p. 3). Other risk factors for suicide include a family history of addictions and suicide, previous suicide attempts, inter-personal conflicts, physical illness or disability, depression, unemployment, unresolved personal issues, sexual orientation, family violence, living alone and the loss of a friend or relative (Health Canada, 2002). Other risk factors identified by White et al. (2003) include substance abuse, social isolation and access to firearms.

Suicide is a behaviour or action. It should not be seen as a distinct psychiatric disorder, but as a reaction to the state that Aboriginal people find themselves trapped in—a colonist and pro-colonist reality. Therapists need to be mindful of this reality when providing intervention to Aboriginal people.

## Schizophrenia and suicide: Facts

Schizophrenia is a disturbance of the brain's functioning that can seriously alter the way people think, feel and relate to others. About one person in 100 develops schizophrenia. Men and women are affected equally; however, men tend to have their first episode of schizophrenia in their late teens or early 20s and women experience this first onset a few years later.

The symptoms of schizophrenia fall into two categories: “positive” and “negative” symptoms. Positive symptoms (sometimes called psychotic symptoms) refer to symptoms that appear, while negative symptoms refer to elements that are taken away from a person. Positive symptoms include delusions; hallucinations; disorganized thinking, mood and behaviour; and changes in sensitivity. Negative symptoms include lowered physical activity levels, reduced motivation, loss of interest in the feelings and lives of others, and loss of concern for personal appearance. Schizophrenia has three phases: prodromal (or beginning), active and residual. These phases tend to happen in order and appear in cycles throughout the course of the illness. During a lifetime, people with schizophrenia may become actively ill once or twice, or have many more episodes.

No single cause has been found for schizophrenia, although there is a clear genetic link. Research has given us clues in the search for better ways to diagnose and treat the illness. It is impossible to predict how well a person will recover after the onset of the disorder. Some will recover almost totally, while others will need medication and support for the rest of their lives (Patterson et al., 1999).

Some interesting facts and statistics about schizophrenia and suicide:

- Individuals with schizophrenia can live meaningful lives that include having a job, family, home and friends.
- At least five to 13 per cent of individuals with schizophrenia die by suicide; it is cited that the higher end range is a more accurate estimate (Pompili et al., 2007).
- According to the *Practice Guideline for the Assessment and Treatment of Patients with Suicidal Behaviours*, 40 to 53 per cent of individuals with schizophrenia

think about suicide at some point in their lives (American Psychiatric Association, 2003).

- The suicide rate in inpatients with schizophrenia who were followed up post one-year hospitalization for periods of one to 26 years was 6.8 per cent (Pompili et al., 2005).
- Meta-analyses have found that the risk of suicide in individuals with schizophrenia is eight times that of the general population (Harris & Barraclough, 1997).
- About 20 to 55 per cent of individuals with schizophrenia make a suicide attempt at some time in their lives (Drake, 2006; American Psychiatric Association, 2003; Landmark et al., 1987).
- Compared with suicide attempts among people without schizophrenia, attempts by people with schizophrenia are serious and intent is generally strong; therefore, these attempts typically require medical attention (Pompili, et al., 2007).
- Suicide attempts in individuals with schizophrenia have been associated with the number of lifetime depressive episodes. In this context, depression has been recognized as a major risk factor among people with schizophrenia who have attempted suicide (Gupta et al., 1998).

## RISK FACTORS

Identifying risk factors for suicide in individuals with schizophrenia is important. Confusing negative symptoms or medication side-effects with depression has been cited as a contributing factor for poor outcomes. This confusion highlights the need for health care providers to be better trained and to have a better understand illness symptomology (Jones et al., 1994; Pickar et al., 1986).

Five factors have been identified as increasing suicide risk among people with schizophrenia:

- past suicidal ideation
- previous deliberate self-harm
- previous depressive episodes
- drug abuse or dependence
- higher number of psychiatric admissions (Pompili et al., 2007).

Other risk factors include:

- being young, male and Caucasian
- never having been married
- good premorbid functioning
- high aspirations
- first hospitalized at a late age
- higher IQ
- awareness of the illness trajectory and its potential impact on one's life
- post-psychotic depression
- deteriorating health due to comorbid conditions (Fenton et al., 1997; Fenton et al., 2000; Pompili et al., 2007).

Other significant risk factors for suicide in individuals with schizophrenia include:

- hopelessness
- social isolation
- recent loss or rejection
- limited external support
- family stress and instability (Pompili et al., 2007; Fenton et al., 2000; Fenton et al., 1997).

An association of positive symptoms with suicide, specifically in the acute phase of the illness, with the presence of psychotic symptoms, paranoid delusions and thought disorder has also been found (De Hert et al., 2001; Kruplinski et al., 2000; Fenton et al., 1997; Saarinen et al., 1999; Heila et al., 1997; Hu et al., 1991; Westermeyer et al., 1991).

Command hallucinations resulting in a suicide, although atypical, have been reported in the literature. According to the literature, command hallucinations occur more than recognized and assessed for in clinical settings. These hallucinations frequently hold imperative clinical significance and should therefore be carefully assessed and treated in individuals with schizophrenia (Pompili et al., 2007).

Despite the finding above, suicide has been found to be less associated with core symptoms of psychosis, and more with affective symptoms, agitation,

and an awareness that the illness is disturbing mental function (Pompili et al., 2007).

Anxiety has been found to be a contributing factor to suicidality in post psychotic depression. Comorbidity with panic attacks has been associated with higher suicide rates in individuals with schizophrenia (Goodwin et al., 2002; Saارينen et al., 1999).

Akathisia awareness manifested subjectively as an insufferable feeling of inner tension and restlessness, in combination with hopelessness, has been associated with higher rates of suicidality in individuals with schizophrenia (Cem Atbasoglu et al., 2001).

These risk factors for suicide and schizophrenia are commonly compounded and exasperated by deficits in adequate access to the social determinants of health (Pauley, 2008). An understanding of the social determinants of health and health equity in the context of harm reduction and recovery must inform a clinician's approach to care. Lack of equity, or of these determinants or assistance in facilitating them, must be considered as a fundamental gap in a care delivery model (Meerwijk et al., 2010; Pauley, 2008; RNAO, 2009).

The suicide risk for adolescents or young adults with schizophrenia has been found to be three times higher than for adults living with the illness (Pompili, 2007).

## SUBSTANCE USE AND YOUTH WITH SCHIZOPHRENIA

It is estimated that 40 to 60 per cent of individuals with schizophrenia will develop a substance use disorder in their lifetime (Schizophrenia Society of Canada, 2006).

Individuals living with schizophrenia who use substances have been found to be at an increased risk for suicide (Ptaszik, 2008). In particular, youth living with schizophrenia who use substances are cited to be at greater risk (RNAO, 2009; Pompili et al., 2007; Rich et al., 1988; Fowler et al., 1986).



## TRANSITIONS AND PHASES OF CARE

A high-risk period for suicidality includes situations in which the treatment regimen is altered in a significant way (Drake et al., 2006; Pompili et al., 2004; Burgess et al., 2000; Saarinen et al., 1999; Cotton et al., 1985). Approximately one third of suicides in individuals with schizophrenia occur during admission or during the first week after discharge. These high-risk periods are important factors to consider when conducting an assessment, and when establishing, planning and considering the next phases of care (RNAO, 2009; Drake et al., 2006; Pompili et al., 2004; Burgess et al., 2000; Saarinen et al., 1999). The post-discharge period is an important phase for individuals with schizophrenia, because this time may represent a period of separation from an environment that was central to their lives. This period is of greater concern if the individual is experiencing hopelessness and a sense of demoralization related to the illness (Pompili et al., 2007).

## INSIGHT

Research suggests that awareness of illness is associated with increased risk of suicide in this population, but only if this awareness leads to hopelessness (Pompili et al., 2007). It is imperative that individuals with schizophrenia be carefully assessed for hopelessness and suicidal ideation throughout the course of their illness, especially if there is a distinct improvement in their awareness of any element of the illness symptoms (Pompili et al., 2007; RNAO, 2009).

## PROTECTIVE FACTORS AND PREVENTION

Above and beyond the other protective factors and preventative measures for suicidality, non-pharmacological treatments such as social skills training, vocational rehabilitation and supportive employment are key protective factors in suicide prevention in individuals living with schizophrenia (Nyman et al., 1986; Cotton et al., 1985). Strength-based programs that focus on suicide prevention, early detection, in addition to treatment options tailored to meet the unique needs of individuals with schizophrenia and support their families, are crucial. Supportive, reality-oriented therapies, including supportive psychotherapy and cognitive-behavioural approaches

need to be considered as part of the treatment approach and as preventative measures for individuals experiencing suicidality and schizophrenia (RNAO, 2009; Pompili et al., 2007; Cotton et al., 1985; Nyman et al., 1986). Clinicians need to be able to accurately screen, diagnose and treat suicidality in individuals with schizophrenia. Screening and treatment must be combined with adequate screening and treatment for other risk factors. Screening and treatment must take place at all points of entry into the health care system and continued at intervals determined by the level of risk assessed (Pompili et al., 2007). In light of the multifactorial risk factors associated with suicide and schizophrenia, an understanding of screening for substance use and dependence, and other comorbid conditions is also critical.

# Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender Youth and Suicide: Facts

As with people of all sexual orientations, most suicide attempts among lesbian, gay and bisexual (LGB) people occur during youth (Centre for Suicide Prevention, 2003).

It is difficult to get an accurate picture of suicide among lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender (LGBT) youth, including the seriousness of suicide attempts, because sexual orientation is not usually included as a cause in death reports and certificates or newspaper obituaries. As well, family members and friends may not know or be willing to talk about how a young person's sexual orientation or identity contributed to the suicide. However, research indicates that LGB youth experience suicidal ideation more frequently than heterosexual youth and are up to four times more likely than heterosexual youth to attempt suicide (Suicide Prevention Resource Center, 2008). These studies do not include transgender youth and there has been little research on transgender individuals; however, in one study of adults and young adults, 30.1 per cent of transgender individuals reported that they had attempted suicide (American Association of Suicidology, 2010). In another study, nearly half of young transgender people seriously thought about taking their lives and one quarter reported having made a suicide attempt (Trevor Project, 2011).

It is important to recognize that not all LGBT youth will be suicidal.

## RISK FACTORS

Risk factors that apply to youth overall also apply to LGBT youth; however, the social environment, including stigma and discrimination, creates unique risks for LGBT youth and increases many risks shared by all youth.

Many significant risk factors have been indicated in this population:

- suicidal ideation: LGBT youth experience suicide more frequently than heterosexual youth.

- previous suicide attempts: LGBT youth attempt suicide more frequently than heterosexual youth.
- mental illness: LGBT youth may have higher rates of major depression, anxiety disorder, conduct disorder, and co-occurring psychiatric disorders than heterosexual youth. Increased incidence of these mental health problems may be related to more frequent experiences of violence, harassment and discrimination compared to heterosexual youth.
- suicidal behaviour among friends and peers
- substance abuse
- family dysfunction (divorce, parental alcoholism, physical abuse)
- disclosure of sexual orientation at an early age
- interrupted social ties or lack of social support network, including rejection by family
- hostile work and school environments
- physical and verbal victimization
- harassment and persecution
- stigma associated with seeking help
- discrimination, which may lead to may lead to isolation, family rejection and lack of access to culturally competent care
- homelessness
- involvement in the juvenile justice or foster care systems.

(Adapted from Suicide Prevention Resource Center, 2008; Centre for Suicide Prevention, 2003; American Association of Suicidology, 2010)

## PROTECTIVE FACTORS

Protective factors that diminish suicidal ideation and behaviour among LGBT youth include:

- easy access to effective, culturally competent care
- support through ongoing medical and mental health care relationships
- coping, problem-solving and conflict resolution skills
- family and parental acceptance of sexual orientation and/or gender identity
- community support
- positive role models
- strong social support systems
- safe and supportive schools and peer groups such as gay-straight alliances

- family support and connectedness
- caring adults
- a sense of confidence, mastery and self-esteem.

(Adapted from The Trevor Project, 2011; Suicide Prevention Resource Center, 2008; Centre for Suicide Prevention, 2003; American Association of Suicidology, 2010)

## SUICIDE PREVENTION AND LESBIAN, GAY, AND TRANSGENDER YOUTH

Providing life skills training, enhancing peer relationships, connecting LGBT young people with supportive adults and helping parents and teachers to support LGBT youth contribute to preventing suicide. As well, schools, mental health and social services and health care services are common venues for providing services to LGBT youth. Venues such as CAMH can help to decrease suicide risk by increasing the sense of safety and inclusion among LGBT youth through developing cultural competence at the staff and institutional levels. Cultural competence encompasses a set of behaviours, attitudes and policies that enables a system, agency or professional to work effectively in cross-cultural situations. This competence includes knowledgeable, effective staff and an environment—including the setting, policies and corporate board—that supports safety and inclusion. Cultural competence can be fostered and improved through organization-wide training on LGBT-specific issues and concerns such as suicide and suicidal ideation among youth. Culturally competent training may also focus on increasing awareness of this population, understanding and using LGBT terminology and becoming aware of personal biases and assumptions. All care providers should ensure that their practice responds to the needs of LGBT people in general, and LGBT youth specifically (Suicide Prevention Resource Center, 2008).



## Suicide and substance use: Facts

It has been estimated that approximately 90 per cent of people who die by suicide had depression, another mental illness or a substance use disorder at the time of the suicide (Langlois & Morrison, 2002). A 2002 study by the Canadian Centre on Substance Abuse found that a total of 603 deaths from suicides/self-inflicted injuries (493 males and 109 females) were associated with alcohol use and another 295 completed suicides (146 males and 149 females) were attributable to the use of illegal drugs in that year (Rehm et al., 2006).

Many researchers and clinicians have attempted to clarify the nature of the relationship between substance use and suicidal risk:

- In a study of 527 abstinent opiate-dependent clients, Roy (2010) found that almost 40 per cent had a history of suicide attempts and that family history of suicidal behaviour, alcohol dependence, cocaine dependence and treatment with antidepressant medication were significant predictors of these attempts.
- In their study of a sample of 990 drug users with criminal justice histories, Cottler et al. (2005) found that alcohol use disorder and depression were significant predictors of suicidal ideation for both males and females. They highlighted the need to discuss suicidal thoughts among depressed drug users for early treatment and prevention.
- Flensburg-Madsen et al. (2009) concluded that alcohol use disorders were associated with a “highly increased risk of completed suicide” among 18,146 subjects in the Copenhagen City Heart Study in Denmark.
- In Canada, Mann et al. (2008) studied male and female suicide mortality rates in Manitoba between 1976 and 1997 and found that alcohol consumption was related to both male and female suicide mortality rates.





# Suicide and the elderly: Facts

Every day in Canada, 1.4 adults over age 65 years die by suicide, a rate of 9.8 per 100,000 (Statistics Canada, 2011).


Older adults made up 15.7 per cent of the Canadian population in 2014 (Statistics Canada, 2014a) and represent Canada's fastest-growing age group.

One in four older adults has a mental health problem. By 2041, older adults will have the highest rate of mental illness in Canada (Mental Health Commission of Canada, n.d.). One of the leading causes of suicide among the elderly is depression, which is often undiagnosed or untreated.

Men in later life are at an increased risk for suicide, and men aged 85 and older are at an even greater risk. In 2011, the suicide rate for men aged 85 and older was 22.7 per 100,000. While this represents a decrease from 2009 (30.6 per 100,000), the suicide rate in 2011 was still approximately 1.5 times that of the current rate for all ages.

Although older adults attempt suicide less often than those in other age groups, they have a higher rate of death by suicide (15-to-24-year-olds: 1 death per 100–200 attempts versus people over 65 years: 1 death per 4 attempts).

## Suicides and suicide rate per 100,000 population, by sex and age group in Canada, 2011



Compiled from Statistics Canada (2014b).

Various risk and protective factors for suicide have been identified (American Association of Suicidology, 2010; Canadian Coalition for Seniors' Mental Health, 2009; Centre for Suicide Prevention, 2014).

In the elderly, common suicide risk factors include:

- recent death of a loved one
- physical illness
- uncontrollable pain
- perceived poor health
- social isolation and loneliness
- major changes in social roles
- suicidal ideation/behaviour
- family history of suicide
- mental illness/addiction
- personality factors
- discrimination related to age (ageism)
- perceived sense of being a burden.

Protective factors include:

- resilience
- sense of meaning and purpose in life
- sense of hope
- sense of optimism
- religious or spiritual practice
- active social networks
- good health practices
- positive health-seeking behaviours
- engagement in activities of interest.

# Appendix II: Suicide Risk Interdisciplinary Plan of Care (IPOC)

Component	Status	Dose ...	Details
<b>IPOC Suicide Risk (Initiated)</b>			
Last updated on 13/04/2015 11:54 by: Kathryn Ryan, RN, APN			
<b>Outcomes</b>			
Prevent suicidal behaviour	Activated		By Phase End
Develop and implement safety plan	Activated		By Phase End
Reduce Modifiable Risk Factors	Activated		By Phase End
<b>INDICATORS</b>			
Level of Suicide Risk	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Suicidal Ideation	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Suicide Plan Formulated	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Presence of Suicide Intent	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
<b>Interventions</b>			
Monitor ongoing suicide risk	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Ensure Appropriate Observation Level	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Implement Inpatient Safety Precautions	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Assess risk factors	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Explore Reasons for Living & Dying	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Review and Encourage Use of Safety Plan	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
Enhance Healthy Coping Skills	Activated		13/04/2015 11:53 - Phase End
<b>ORDERS</b>			

This is not an exhaustive list of all interventions. Client-specific interventions can be added to customize the care plan.



# Appendix III: Safety Plans

## CAMH Safety and Comfort Plan

**Safety and Comfort Plan - PDEIA, KARIK**

Performed on: [Date] [Time] EDT By: Rhodrik Carter, Test

**My Stress:** Mild Stress

**Triggers of Severe Stress**

**Life Happens - Situational Events**

**Physical Health and Abilities**

**Moods and Feelings**

**Cognitive Health and Abilities**

**Surroundings**

**My Experience of Severe Distress**

**Body Sensations**

**Thoughts/Speech**

**Safety and Comfort Plan - ???-UNKNOWN, UNKNOWN**

Performed on: 13/04/2015 16:39 EDT By: Kathryn Ryan, RN, APN

**My Stress:** Mild Stress, Moderate Stress, Severe Stress

**Strategy:**

**How Do I Know This Strategy Works**

**Body Sensations**

**Thoughts/Speech**

**Feelings**

**Behaviours**

**Other Strategy**

**Who Do I Want to Share My Plan With**

\*\*\* This is a multi-contributor section. Please ensure that when documenting in freetext fields, each documenter includes FIC: Name, Last Name, Credentials, Date (dd/mm/yyyy) and time (24-hour clock) with each entry \*\*\*

# Coping with Suicidal Thoughts: Safety Plan Template

1. What activities can I complete to calm/comfort myself?
2. These are reminders to myself of my reasons for living:
3. What can I do to reduce the risk of acting on suicidal thoughts?
4. What warning signs or triggers make me feel less in control of suicidal behaviour?
5. What have I done in the past that has helped?  
What coping skills can I use now?
6. What can others do to help?
7. Call a friend or family member:  
Name:  
Phone:
8. Call a backup person if person above is not available:  
Name:  
Phone:
9. Call a care provider (GP, psychologist, psychiatrist, therapist):  
Name:  
Phone:
10. Call my local crisis line:  
Gerstein Centre: 416 929-5200  
Distress Centre of Toronto: 416 408-4357  
Canadian Mental Health Association – crisis response:  
416 498-0043 (North York and Etobicoke)

11. This is a safe place where I can go:

I can go to the emergency room at the nearest hospital.

If I feel that I can't get to the hospital safely, I will call 911 and request transportation to the hospital. They will send someone to transport me safely.

(This document was adapted from Samra & Bilsker [2007].)

This publication may be available in other formats. For information about alternative formats or other CAMH publications, or to place an order, please contact Sales and Distribution:

Toll-free: 1 800 661-1111

Toronto: 416 595-6059

E-mail: [publications@camh.ca](mailto:publications@camh.ca)

Online store: <http://store.camh.ca>

Website: [www.camh.ca](http://www.camh.ca)

# camh

Centre for Addiction and Mental Health  
Centre de toxicomanie et de santé mentale

A Pan American Health Organization /  
World Health Organization Collaborating Centre

ISBN 978-1-77052-859-8



5188a / 05-2015 / PM098